ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA352736

Filing date:

06/14/2010

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92051963
Party	Defendant Even St. Productions, Ltd.
Correspondence Address	JAY COGGAN EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD. 1925 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2320 LOS ANGELES, NY 90067 UNITED STATES rbecker@frosszelnick.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action
Filer's Name	Robert A. Becker
Filer's e-mail	rbecker@frosszelnick.com
Signature	/Robert Becker/
Date	06/14/2010
Attachments	Exhibit A to Registrant's Motion to Suspend (F0637893).PDF (110 pages)(5778682 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Registration No. 2,920,734 Trademark: SLY AND THE FAMILY STONE		
Registrant's Ref.: EVSP USA TC-1002164	**	
	X	
SYLVESTER STEWART,	:	
	:	
Detitioner	:	
Petitioner,	:	
v.	:	
	:	Cancellation No. 92051963
EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS, LTD.,	:	
Registrant.	: : :	
	X	

EXHIBIT A TO REGISTRANT'S MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Robert Allan, Esq. (SBN 119010) Rod Rummelsburg, Esq. (SBN 201628) Stella Wei-Ying Yap, Esq. (SBN 218202) Edythe Huang, Esq. (SBN 268702) ALLAN LAW GROUP P.C. 22917 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 350 Malibu, CA 90265 Telephone: (310) 456-3024 Facsimile: (310) 317-0484 Attorneys for Plaintiffs SYLVESTER STEWAR	CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court FEB 04 2010 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By Dawn ALEXANDER T and KEN ROBERTS
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF LO	OS ANGELES
10		120809
11	SYLVESTER STEWART, an individual,	CASE NO. BC 430809
12	p/k/a SLY STONE, p/k/a SLY AND THE FAMILY STONE; and KEN ROBERTS, an)) UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
13	individual, aka KENNETH ROBERTS,)) FIRST AMENDED
14	Plaintiffs,) COMPLAINT FOR:
15	vs.) 1. DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF
16	GERALD GOLDSTEIN aka JERRY) CONTRACT;) 2. DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF
17	GOLDSTEIN, individually and as co-trustee) IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD
18	of the AMADEUS TRUST, and as trustee of the GERALD GOLDSTEIN REVOCABLE) FAITH AND FAIR DEALING;) 3. UNJUST ENRICHMENT;
19	TRUST, and as a managing member of AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC,) 4. RESCISSION, RESTITUTION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF;
20	AMADEUS B, LLC, and AVITTA) 5. ACCOUNTING;
	PROPERTIES LIMITED; CLAIRE LEVINE, aka CLAIRE GOLDSTEIN, an individual, and) 6. DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF) FIDUCIARY DUTY;
21	as co-trustee of the AMADEUS TRUST, and) 7. DAMAGES FOR FRAUD;) 8. DAMAGES FOR CONSTRUCTIVE
22	as a managing member of AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC, AMADEUS) FRAUD;
23	B, LLC, and AVITTA PROPERTIES LIMITED; JACLYN LEVINE, an individual;	9. DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT;
24	STEPHEN TOPLEY, an individual; GLENN) 10. DAMAGES FOR FRAUD;
25	STONE, an individual; ELVA HACKNEY, an individual, and as a principal of) 11. DAMAGES FOR CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD;
26	COLUMBIA STREET, INC.; COLUMBIA STREET, INC., a California corporation;) 12. CONVERSION;) 13. CONVERSION;
27	EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD., a New) 14. MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED;
28	York corporation, formerly known as STONE) 15. FRAUDULENT TRANSFER;
		I
	FIRST AMENDE	ED COMPLAINT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INC., a New York corporation; MAJOKEN, INC., a New York corporation; JERRY GOLDSTEIN MUSIC, INC., a New York corporation; AUDIO VISUAL ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a California corporation, dba AVENUE RECORDS and dba AVENUE MUSIC GROUP; GERALD GOLDSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST, dated November 6, 1998, an express revocable living trust; AMADEUS TRUST, dated January 24, 2000, an express revocable living trust; AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC, a California limited liability company; AMADEUS B, LLC, a New York limited liability company; AVITTA PROPERTIES LIMITED, a British Virgin Islands corporation; FIRST CALIFORNIA BANK, a California corporation, successor-in-interest to MERCANTILE NATIONAL BANK; BROADCAST MUSIC, INC., a New York corporation; SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware corporation; WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC., a Delaware corporation; WARNER- TAMERLANE PUBLISHING CORP., a California corporation; SOUNDEXCHANGE, INC., a Delaware corporation; All Persons Unknown Claiming Any Legal or Equitable Right, Title, Estate, Lien, or Interest in the Property Described in the Complaint Adverse to Plaintiff's Property Interests; and DOES 1	STITUTION FOR UNFAIR SINESS PRACTICES IN DLATION OF CALIFORNIA SINESS AND PROFESSIONS DE § 17200 et seq.; POSITION OF DISTRUCTIVE TRUST; ID ECLARATORY RELIEF							
20	Defendants.								
21	21								
22	22								
23	Plaintiffs SYLVESTER STEWART, an individua	l professionally known as SLY							
24	a. II	STONE performing professionally as Sly and The Family Stone (hereinafter referred to as "Sly							
25	a.e.								
26	26	Stone") and KEN ROBERTS, an individual, aka KENNETH ROBERTS (hereinafter referred							
27	to as "Roberts"), (in aggregate, the "Plaintiffs") hereby a	to as "Roberts"), (in aggregate, the "Plaintiffs") hereby allege as follows:							
	28								
۷.	20 2								
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT								
	II								

This action arises from the diversion, conversion, and misappropriation of tens of millions of dollars of assets and funds belonging to Sly Stone by Defendant Gerald Goldstein ("Goldstein"), Defendant Goldstein's various business associates and a myriad of affiliated entities including the Fictitious Defendants (collectively the "Goldstein Collaborators," defined infra).

By means of fraud, deception and concealment singer / songwriter / composer / musician / producer Sly Stone was, and is, being cheated out of millions of dollars of royalties for the exploitation of his musical compositions, royalties for the exploitation of Sly and The Family Stone master recordings, and for licensing and other revenues derived from the trade name / trademark Sly and The Family Stone (collectively "Royalties") by the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators.

In 1989 Defendant Goldstein and Sly Stone agreed Defendant Goldstein, through his company Defendant Even St. Productions Ltd. ("Even St."), would act as Sly Stone's personal and business manager and would act in Sly Stone's best interests on an at-will basis to provide Sly Stone with financial advice, manage and take care of every aspect of his personal and professional financial affairs, and assist him with his career as long as Sly Stone wanted them to do so in return for the usual and customary fees for these services and for the fees and costs of third party accountants and lawyers hired by Defendant Goldstein or Defendant Even St. to act in the best interest of Sly Stone ("Oral Agreement"). Defendant Goldstein induced Sly Stone into signing a document entitled "Employment Agreement" which he, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley, fraudulently represented contained the terms of the Oral Agreement, but was actually an employment agreement for Sly Stone and an assignment of the Royalties. After the document was signed, Defendant Goldstein and Sly Stone's relationship was represented to the public to be that of personal and business manager and Sly Stone became entirely dependent on Defendant Goldstein.

For over twenty years Defendant Goldstein repeatedly told Sly Stone there were little or no Royalties due and/or payable to him, there were liens and levies on his Royalties for state

and federal income taxes, and because of other "problems with the IRS," Sly Stone could not have any assets in his name or receive the Royalties directly. Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators used the fraudulently obtained Employment Agreement to divert, convert and misappropriate the Royalties.

Since Sly Stone's relationship with Defendant Goldstein began, Sly Stone did not collect his Royalties, did not receive an accounting of the receipt and disbursement of his Royalties from Defendant Goldstein, and did not receive the benefit of his bargain with Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. From 1989 through 2009 Sly Stone did not receive an accounting of the Royalties due and/or payable to him from the companies collecting the Royalties on his behalf (collectively the "Royalty Collecting Companies," defined *infra*). Until 2007 Sly Stone survived on intermittent payments made to, or for the benefit of, Sly Stone by Defendant Goldstein or from his Music Companies (collectively the "Music Companies," defined *infra*).

From approximately 1999 to the present Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators engaged in a scheme that allowed them to borrow money from Defendant Mercantile National Bank secured by Sly Stone's future Royalties. With all of Sly Stone's current Royalties and future Royalties collected by Defendant Goldstein through his Music Companies, Defendant Goldstein did not need Sly Stone anymore.

In 2008 Defendant Goldstein told Sly Stone neither he nor the Music Companies could advance Sly Stone any more money. However, the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, without the authority or permission of Sly Stone, have received, borrowed, and continue to receive millions of dollars of, or derived from, the Royalties.

Through on-going false misrepresentations to Sly Stone that there were little or no Royalties payable to Sly Stone when, in fact, there were substantial Royalties payable to him, Defendant Goldstein and his common law wife Defendant Claire Levine ("Levine") used diverted, converted or misappropriated Royalties to allow them to accumulate approximately eighty million dollars (\$80,000,000) of assets, leaving Sly Stone to live hand to mouth, at times homeless and dependant on social security payments. Most of the Royalties were diverted to,

 converted by, or misappropriated by, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine through a complicated scheme and a myriad of companies used to purchase and/or hold real property. Legal title to the real property was, and is, held in the names of several domestic and foreign trusts, a limited liability company, and an off-shore corporation. However, all such entities are owned and/or controlled by Defendant Goldstein and/or Defendant Levine.

Sly Stone seeks, monetary damages, including punitive damages, rescission, restitution and declaratory relief, an accounting, and imposition of a constructive trust for breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, constructive fraud, conversion, money had and received, fraudulent transfer, and unfair business practices committed by, and for the unjust enrichment of, the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators (defined *infra*).

Sly Stone seeks compensatory damages from Defendant BMI (defined infra), Defendant Warner/Chappell (defined infra), Defendant Warner-Tamerlane (defined infra), Defendant Sony Music (defined infra), and Defendant SoundExchange (defined infra).

Sly Stone also seeks an accounting from each, and declaratory relief against all defendants as to the parties' right and entitlement to past and future payment of Royalties.

To the extent the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators (defined *infra*) utilized corporations, trusts or other entities for the purpose of enabling the individual defendants to commit fraud or other torts, Sly Stone seeks to pierce the corporate veil of such entities to hold the individual defendant perpetrators personally liable.

Co-plaintiff Ken Roberts ("Roberts"), a former manager of Sly Stone, is a victim of fraud and identity theft. Without Roberts' authority, permission or knowledge, several of the defendants, including Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St., pretended to be successors-in-interest to Roberts' wholly-owned corporation named Majoken Inc., which was registered in New York in 1975 and registered to do business in California in 1982 as Majoken, Inc. (collectively "Roberts Majoken"). Plaintiff Roberts' reputation in the music business has been harmed by the association of his company with Defendant Goldstein's company.

In the 1970s Sly Stone assigned his Royalties from Defendant Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMI") to Roberts Majoken to secure loans from Roberts Majoken to Sly Stone. Roberts Majoken was dissolved in 1991. With knowledge of the prior assignment to Roberts Majoken, the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators formed a new corporation named Majoken, Inc. which was registered in New York in 1996 ("Goldstein Majoken") and used it to defraud Sly Stone of millions of dollars in Royalties due and payable to him from Defendant BMI. The Defendant Goldstein Collaborators used Defendant Goldstein Majoken to defraud Mercantile National Bank, the predecessor-in-interest to Defendant First California Bank, of millions of dollars by obtaining loans from Defendant Mercantile National Bank secured by assignments of future Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone from Defendant BMI.

Roberts' claims are limited to actual and constructive fraud committed by the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators (defined *infra*).

Roberts seeks compensatory and punitive damages from the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators (defined *infra*).

Roberts requests the Court adjudicate and declare that Defendant Even St. and its shareholders, officers, and directors never had any ownership, interest, or rights in, or to, Roberts Majoken.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Sly Stone is a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California. Sly Stone was a musical prodigy from a young age, proficient at the keyboard by the age of seven and mastering the guitar, bass, and drums by the age of eleven. He became famous for his musical compositions performed by him as Sly and The Family Stone. Sly Stone is a prolific songwriter who has written and composed hundreds of songs throughout his career, with over 300 songs registered with Defendant BMI. A number of these songs became Top 40 hits.
- 2. Plaintiff KEN ROBERTS ("Roberts") is, and at all relevant times has been, a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California.

7

8

10

11 12

> 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27 28

- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant GERALD 3. GOLDSTEIN, aka JERRY GOLDSTEIN ("Goldstein"), is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in Los Angeles County, State of California. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Goldstein owns and controls Defendant EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD. (f/k/a Stone Fire Productions Ltd.), JERRY GOLDSTEIN **AUDIO** VISUAL MAJOKEN INC., MAJOKEN, INC., and MUSIC. INC., ENTERTAINMENT, INC., dba AVENUE RECORDS, dba AVENUE MUSIC GROUP (collectively the "Music Companies"). Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant Goldstein is, and at all relevant times herein mentioned was, a settlor, a beneficiary and a co-trustee of Defendant AMADEUS TRUST, the settlor, sole beneficiary and a trustee of Defendant GERALD GOLDSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST, the managing member of Defendant AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC, the managing member of Defendant AMADEUS B, LLC, and founder and co-owner of Defendant AVITTA PROPERTIES LIMITED.
- 4. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant CLAIRE LEVINE, also known as CLAIRE GOLDSTEIN, ("Levine") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual who is a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Levine was at all relevant times a settlor, a beneficiary and a co-trustee of Defendant AMADEUS TRUST, a managing member of Defendant AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC, a managing member of Defendant AMADEUS B, LLC, and a founder and co-owner of Defendant AVITTA PROPERTIES LIMITED. Defendant Levine has represented that she is an owner, shareholder and officer of the Defendant Music Companies and considers them her joint assets with her common law husband, Defendant Goldstein. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges, Defendant Levine has been Defendant Goldstein's common law wife and business partner for over twenty-three (23) years in the Music Companies.
- 5. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant JACLYN LEVINE ("J. Levine") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in the

 County of Los Angeles, State of California who is the daughter of Defendant Levine and was the recipient of property, hereinafter described, purchased with funds unlawfully converted or misappropriated from Sly Stone through the tortious acts hereinafter alleged.

- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant STEPHEN TOPLEY ("Defendant Topley") is an individual residing in the State of Hawaii and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an officer and director of the Defendant EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD.
- 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant GLENN STONE is an individual residing in the State of New York and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an officer and director of Defendant EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant ELVA HACKNEY ("Hackney") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing and/or doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon further allege from approximately 1997 to 2003 Defendant Hackney worked at Defendant MERCANTILE NATIONAL BANK, the predecessor-in-interest to Defendant FIRST CALIFORNIA BANK. In approximately 2003 Defendant Hackney became the financial consultant to Defendant Music Companies both individually, and through her wholly-owned corporation, Defendant COLUMBIA STREET, INC.
- 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant COLUMBIA STREET, INC. is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS LTD. ("Even St."), formerly known as STONE FIRE PRODUCTIONS LTD. ("Stone Fire") from approximately January 26, 1989 until April 12, 1989, is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business in Los Angeles County, State of California. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon further allege Defendant Goldstein, Defendant

Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley, and each of them, are and at all relevant times herein mentioned were, officers and directors of Defendant Even St. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant Even St.'s source of revenues is, and at all times herein mentioned was, Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone, specifically a) Royalties from Defendant SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT for the exploitation of Sly and The Family Stone's master recordings, b) Royalties from Defendants WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC. and/or Defendant WARNER-TAMERLANE PUBLISHING CORP. as administrators for MiJAC Music for the exploitation of Sly Stone's musical compositions, and c) Royalties from Defendant SOUNDEXCHANGE, INC. for the exploitation of Sly Stone's digital performances. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that there are additional Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone arising from his musical compositions and the exploitation of Sly and The Family Stone master recordings that were paid to the Defendant Music Companies. Sly Stone will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to allege the sources of the Royalties and the Royalties which have been paid to the Defendant Music Companies when such facts are ascertained through discovery in this action.

- 11. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant MAJOKEN, INC. ("Goldstein Majoken") (to be distinguished from Roberts Majoken) is, and at all relevant times herein mentioned since in or about April 1996 was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and is, and at all relevant times herein mentioned was, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Defendant Goldstein Majoken was registered in New York on July 30, 1996 by Defendant Glenn Stone acting in his capacity as an officer and director of Defendant Even St.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Goldstein Majoken is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Even St., and Defendant Goldstein at all relevant times has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of Defendant Goldstein Majoken and has overseen the day to day operations of Defendant Goldstein Majoken. On August 5, 1996 Defendant Glenn Stone wrote a letter on Defendant Even St. letterhead to Defendant BMI, fraudulently misrepresenting to Defendant BMI "[a]t all times relevant to

Sylvester Stewart BMI's public performance royalties, Mr. Stewart rendered songwriter services to Majoken, Inc." with the intent and the effect of convincing Defendant BMI, Defendant Goldstein Majoken was Roberts Majoken and all Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone by Defendant BMI were now payable to Defendant Goldstein Majoken.

- 13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant JERRY GOLDSTEIN MUSIC, INC. ("Goldstein Music") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business located in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant AUDIO VISUAL ENTERTAINMENT, INC., d/b/a AVENUE RECORDS, d/b/a AVENUE MUSIC GROUP ("Avenue Records") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 15. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant GERALD GOLDSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST dated November 6, 1998 ("Goldstein Trust"), sued herein through its trustee, is, and at all times since on or about November 6, 1998 was, an express revocable living trust declared and established on November 6, 1998 by Defendant Goldstein, who is the sole settlor, trustee, and beneficiary. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Goldstein Trust was formed in, and under the laws of, the State of California.
- TRUST, dated January 24, 2000 ("Amadeus Trust"), sued herein through its trustees, is, and at all times herein mentioned since January 24, 2000 was, an express revocable living trust declared and established on January 24, 2000 by co-settlors Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, who are also the co-trustees and co-beneficiaries. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Amadeus Trust was formed in, and under the laws of, the State of California. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Amadeus Trust is and/or was holding real property acquired with Royalties

unlawfully converted or misappropriated from Sly Stone. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges that on or about September 15, 2008 Defendant Levine sent a letter to Defendant Goldstein expressly revoking the Defendant Amadeus Trust, but any and all real property held under the name of "Amadeus Trust" at that time is still recorded and held under that name.

- 17. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant AMADEUS CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC ("Amadeus Capital") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, maintaining an office and doing business in Los Angeles County, State of California. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges that Defendant Goldstein is, and at all relevant times herein mentioned was, the managing member of Defendant Amadeus Capital.
- 18. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant AMADEUS B, LLC ("Amadeus B") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, State of California. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant Amadeus B is wholly owned by, and was formed and managed and operated by, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, and each of them. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges the sole purpose of Defendant Amadeus B was to hold real property on behalf of Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, and such real property was paid for, in whole, or in part, with Sly Stone Royalties wrongfully diverted, converted, or misappropriated, and the product of actual and constructive fraud perpetrated upon Sly Stone, as hereinafter more specifically alleged.
- 19. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant AVITTA PROPERTIES LIMITED ("Avitta Properties") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a British Virgin Islands corporation, formed and held solely by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, State of California. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges its sole purpose was

to hold a real property purchased with Sly Stone Royalties wrongfully diverted, converted, or misappropriated, and the product of actual and constructive fraud perpetrated upon Sly Stone, as hereinafter more specifically alleged.

- 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant FIRST CALIFORNIA BANK ("FCB Bancorp") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a California banking corporation, which is a successor-in-interest to MERCANTILE NATIONAL BANK, a federally chartered bank whose assets and liabilities were acquired by Defendant FCB Bancorp on or about May 2007, and that Defendant FCB Bancorp is, and at all times herein mentioned was, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 21. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant BROADCAST MUSIC, INC. ("BMI") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, doing, and authorized to do, business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 22. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT ("Sony Music") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 23. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC. ("Warner/Chappell") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, authorized to do, and is doing business, in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 24. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant WARNER-TAMERLANE PUBLISHING CORP. ("Warner-Tamerlane") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is, and at all times herein mentioned was, maintaining offices in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

- 25. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant SOUNDEXCHANGE, INC. ("SoundExchange") is and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 26. Sly Stone does not know the names or true capacities of All Persons Unknown Claiming Any Legal or Equitable Right, Title, Estate, Lien, or Interest in the Property Described in the Complaint Adverse to Sly Stone's Property Interests or any persons or entities who aided and abetted or collaborated with any of the named defendants herein in committing the tortious acts herein alleged and Does 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by fictitious name (the "Fictitious Defendants"). The Fictitious Defendants are in some manner liable to Sly Stone, or claim some right, title, or interest in the Royalties. Sly Stone will amend this complaint to allege the true names, interests, rights, and capacities of the Fictitious Defendants when ascertained.
- 27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Avitta Properties, each and every one of the Defendant Music Companies, Defendant Levine, Defendant Topley, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Hackney, and Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants (collectively the "Goldstein Collaborators"), and each of them, is, and at all times herein mentioned was, the agent, employer, partner, joint venturer, alter ego, affiliate and co-conspirator of each other and in doing the things herein alleged were acting within the course and scope of such relationships.
- 28. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, and each of them, aided and abetted, ratified the conduct of, knowingly acquiesced in, acted with the consent and permission of, approved and accepted the benefits of the acts of each other defendant as herein alleged with full knowledge of the nature and effect of such acts.
- 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that as to each of the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators which were business entities of any kind, the ratification

 was by its or their managing agents, officers, directors, partners and managing members, and pursuant to a fixed company policies of each of said ratifying entity.

- 30. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant BMI aided and abetted Defendant Goldstein Collaborators to acquire Royalties from Defendant BMI, breached their agreement with Sly Stone and converted the Royalties. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege the tortious acts of the Defendant BMI were ratified by its managing agents, officers and/or directors.
- 31. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege the Defendant Music Companies, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Avitta Properties and all corporate Fictitious Defendants (collectively referred to as the "Goldstein Entity Defendants") are merely alter egos of each other, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine. Plaintiffs will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the ownership interests of shares of, membership or other interests in the Goldstein Entity Defendants among such entities and as between Goldstein Entity Defendants and Defendant Levine.
- 32. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, and Fictitious Defendants, are, and at all times herein mentioned were, shareholders and/or owners of the Goldstein Entity Defendants, and each of them.
- 33. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the precise ownership of, and relationship among Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, the Goldstein Entity Defendants, and the Fictitious Defendants, are known to said Defendants, and each of them.
- 34. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege there exists, and at all times herein mentioned existed, a unity of interest and ownership among Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, the Goldstein Entity Defendants, Fictitious Defendants, and each of them, such that any individuality and separateness between said owners/shareholders and the Goldstein Entity Defendants did and does not exist.
- 35. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege the Goldstein Entity Defendants are mere shells and shams without adequate capital, assets, stock or

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

36. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that the Goldstein Entity Defendants, and each of them, were, at all times herein mentioned, undercapitalized such that their assets and capital were not sufficient to meet their anticipated and expected debts as would be reasonably expected to be incurred based on the type of business said Goldstein entity Defendants were conducting.

stockholders; that corporate formalities were not duly complied with -- directors, members and

shareholders' meetings were not held, records and minutes were not maintained, and shares

were not duly issued in compliance with law; that assets of the Goldstein Entity Defendants

were transferred to their shareholders, members and/or owners without adequate consideration,

that monies were drawn from the bank accounts of the Goldstein Entity Defendants by their

members and/or owners and/or shareholders for their personal use; and that the affairs of the

37. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that the Goldstein Entity Defendants are, and at all times herein mentioned were, wholly controlled, dominated and operated by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine as their individual businesses; that the Goldstein Entity Defendants were established and/or used for the purpose of defrauding Sly Stone out of Royalties due and payable to him; that the further purpose of the Goldstein Entity Defendants was to hide or shelter from Sly Stone and divert, convert or misappropriate the Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone; that the monies and assets of the Goldstein Entity Defendants have been completely intermingled and transferred back and forth without adequate consideration; and with which corporate formalities have not been complied.

- 38. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that to recognize the Goldstein Entity Defendants as distinct from one another and from Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine would sanction a fraud and promote injustice in that these entities were used by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine to place assets in said entities making Defendant Goldstein's and Defendant Levine's money and/or other assets unavailable to creditors, such as Sly Stone, and these entities were used to divert, misappropriate, convert conceal, hide and dispose of assets and property belonging to Sly Stone.
- 39. Each and every reference to "Defendants" in this complaint is intended and shall be deemed and construed to refer to all Defendants, named and unnamed, including the Fictitious Defendants, against whom a cause of action is brought.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 40. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they are residents of and/or doing business in the State of California and/or are officers or directors at all relevant times of companies doing business in the State of California.
- 41. Venue is proper in this county in accordance with Section 395(a) of the California *Code of Civil Procedure* because the Defendants, or some of them, reside and do business the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and the acts and transactions hereinafter alleged, occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

- 42. Any and all applicable statutes of limitation have been tolled by Defendants' continuing, knowing, and active concealment of the material facts alleged herein. Despite exercising reasonable diligence, Plaintiffs could not have discovered, did not discover and were prevented from discovering the wrongdoing complained of herein.
- 43. In the alternative, Defendants should be estopped from relying on any statute of limitation. All Defendants, except Defendant J Levine and Defendant Columbia Street Inc., owed Sly Stone an affirmative duty of full and fair disclosure, a duty to pay any Royalties

10

11 12

14 15

13

161718

19 20

21

22 23

24

252627

28

collected, or received by them, to Sly Stone and provide an accounting thereof, but knowingly failed and continue to fail and/or refuse to honor and discharge such duties.

44. In the further alternative, all applicable statute of limitations are tolled because Sly Stone was in a fiduciary relationship with Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Music Companies, and Sly Stone was particularly vulnerable because he was unsophisticated in business and relied upon Defendant Goldstein to handle his business and personal financial affairs. See Parson v. Tickner (2nd Dist. 1995) 31 Cal.App.4th 1513, 1526 (finding claims were not time barred even though they were delayed by nineteen (19) years based on a fiduciary relationship between musician, composer Graham Parson and his manager).

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Sly and the Family Stone

At age 22 Sly Stone signed a recording contract with Epic Records, a division of CBS. Shortly thereafter, Sly Stone and his band Sly and The Family Stone were launched into stardom. The socially progressive group featured racially diverse male and female musicians who created a fusion of soul, funk, rock and rhythm and blues. In its heyday during the late 1960s and early 1970s the band was internationally renowned, at one time playing to 400,000 people at the 1969 Woodstock concert along with Janis Joplin, The Who, Jimi Hendrix, and Santana. Sly Stone's songs Dance to the Music (1968), Everyday People (1969), Hot Fun in the Summertime (1969), and Thank You (falettinme be mice elf agin) (1970) were all at the top of the Top 40 charts. After releasing eleven albums between 1967 and 1982 through Epic Records (1967-1976) and Warner Brothers (1979-1982), Sly and The Family Stone were inducted into the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame in 1993. Sly Stone's musical compositions continue to be popular to this day. Named one of the most influential artists of all time by Rolling Stone Magazine, Sly Stone is credited as an influence to musical artists such as Michael Jackson, Madonna, and Prince. His songs have been covered by the Beach Boys, Aretha Franklin, Dave Mathews, Ike and Tina Turner, Gladys Knight, Barry White, Duran Duran, Maroon 5, and The Red Hot Chili Peppers among others. Sly and The Family Stone's hits have

been used in major motions pictures including, but not limited to, Seven Pounds (2008), Shrek the Third (2007), Night at the Museum (2006), Stealth (2005), Scooby Doo (2004), A Knight's Tale (2001), and featured in Shrek (2001). Sly Stone's musical compositions and remixes of those compositions continue to be played on the radio, in pubs and clubs, on television, and in the cinema.

Sly Stone's Financial Affairs

- 46. Sly Stone' became a public performer in his youth and had no education or experience in business, money management or finance. Sly Stone has always been and is dependent on his managers, lawyers and advisors to handle his personal and financial affairs.
- As was common in the entertainment industry in the late 1960s and early 1970s, Sly Stone developed problems with substance use and abuse, which ultimately resulted in a lifelong addiction to cocaine and sedatives. As a result of the effect of these addictions Sly Stone was particularly susceptible to the duress and undue influence of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone.

Royalties

48. Organizations which collected and dispersed Royalties include the following -

a. Defendant Sony Music

CBS' label Epic Records signed Sly Stone and his band, Sly and The Family Stone, in approximately 1967. Epic Records was acquired by Defendant Sony Music in approximately January 1988. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, from 1989 to present Defendant Goldstein, with the aid of the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, diverted, converted, or misappropriated, Royalties received by them from Defendant Sony Music for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

b. Defendant BMI

Sly Stone first signed an agreement with Defendant BMI in approximately 1964 whereby he granted Defendant BMI the right to collect Royalties on his behalf. This agreement was amended and extended numerous times through 1979. The last agreement Sly Stone signed

with Defendant BMI was dated March 19, 1979 (the "BMI Agreement"), a true and correct copy of this agreement is attached hereto and marked Exhibit "1." The BMI Agreement was amended once in 1979. The BMI Agreement has been automatically extended thirteen times for two year terms since 1979 without Defendant BMI ever communicating with Sly Stone.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of the BMI Agreement, Defendant BMI agreed to pay Sly Stone all Royalties collected by them on his behalf after the deduction of Defendant BMI's handling charges and fees.

Pursuant to paragraph 7 of the BMI Agreement, Defendant BMI agreed to furnish statements to Sly Stone at least twice a year accompanied by payment to Sly Stone of the Royalties collected by Defendant BMI subject to all proper deductions for advances. In violation of the provisions of paragraph 7 of the BMI Agreement, for over twenty years Defendant BMI has failed to provide the statements specified therein to Sly Stone.

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, from 1980 through at least 1984 Defendant BMI paid all net Royalties due to Sly Stone to the IRS and/or to the State of California Franchise Tax Board ("FTB").

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, from 1985 through 1996 Defendant BMI, without Sly Stone's knowledge or consent, sent checks for all Royalties to Sly Stone at an address unknown to him in Woodland Hills, State of California.

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege from and including 1996 to 2009 Defendant BMI in breach of their contractual and fiduciary duties to, and without the knowledge or informed consent of, Sly Stone paid the Royalties to Defendant Goldstein Majoken.

Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, and Defendant Goldstein Collaborators in breach of their contractual and fiduciary obligations to Sly Stone diverted, converted, or misappropriated Royalties received by them from Defendant BMI for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

c. Defendants Warner/Chappell and/or Warner-Tamerlane

In or about 1982, Sly Stone sold the publishing catalogue for most of his musical compositions (the "Stone Catalogue") to Michael Jackson's record company MiJAC Music. Sly Stone retained the songwriter's share of the Royalties. The Stone Catalogue includes many of Sly Stone's most famous songs, such as Dance to the Music. Everyday People and Family Affair. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendants Warner/Chappell and/or Warner-Tamerlane currently administer(s) and collect(s) royalties for the Stone Catalogue under a music publishing administration agreement with MiJAC Music.

Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Warner/Chappell and Defendant Warner-Tamerlane, in breach of their fiduciary duties to, and without the knowledge and informed consent of, Sly Stone, paid Sly Stone's Royalties to the Defendant Music Companies. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, from 1989 to present the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators diverted, converted or misappropriated Royalties received by them from Defendants Warner/Chappell and/or Warner-Tamerlane for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

d. Defendant SoundExchange.

Defendant SoundExchange was designated by the United States Copyright office to collect artists' digital performance royalties on behalf of the artist. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Even St. and/or another Defendant Music Company has/have collected Royalties from Defendant SoundExchange allegedly on Sly Stone's behalf.

Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein Collaborators in breach of their contractual and fiduciary duties to, and without the knowledge or informed consent of, Sly Stone diverted, converted, or misappropriated Royalties received by them from Defendant SoundExchange for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

e. Other Royalties

Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges other Royalties have been collected, and are due and payable to, Sly Stone from royalty collection organizations other than the named Defendants.

Roberts Majoken

- A9. On multiple occasions between 1975 and 1982, Sly Stone received loans from Roberts, who was Sly Stone's personal and professional manager and financial advisor during that time. To facilitate repayment of these loans, in 1976 Sly Stone assigned to Roberts and/or Ken Roberts Enterprises, Inc., an entity wholly owned and/or controlled by Roberts, as a judgment creditor, any and all Royalties due and payable by Defendant BMI to Sly Stone. Roberts and Sly Stone agreed the Royalties assigned to Roberts would not exceed the amount of the loans and interest thereon due from Sly Stone to Roberts. The loans have been repaid by Sly Stone.
- Majoken was wholly owned and controlled by Roberts. On May 20, 1982 Roberts registered Roberts Majoken with the California Secretary of State as a foreign corporation ("Roberts Majoken-California"). In California, a stenographer's error caused the company to be registered as Majoken, Inc. with a comma before "Inc." whereas the New York registration was for Majoken Inc. without a comma before "Inc." Roberts Majoken was dissolved by the New York Secretary of State in 1991. Roberts Majoken-California's status to do business in California was also "forfeited" in 1991.
- 51. For the year 1979 Defendant BMI issued checks for Royalties payable to "Majoken, Inc. for a/c of Sylvester Stewart" in the total amount of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000). No other Royalties were ever paid by Defendant BMI to Roberts Majoken.
- 52. Nineteen (19) years later, on February 23, 1996 Defendant BMI began sending checks to Majoken, Inc. care of Defendant Even St. for Royalties due commencing with the second quarter 1995 Royalties.

Sly Stone's Dealings with Defendant Even St.

- a. Contacts with Defendant Goldstein
- 53. Sly Stone first met Defendant Goldstein socially in the late 1960s. By 1989 the two had known each other for over twenty years. Based upon their long relationship Sly Stone trusted Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Goldstein's representation to Sly Stone that he

would protect Sly Stone's financial interests, including the collection of his Royalties, and assist Sly Stone's career if Sly Stone would hire Defendant Goldstein to be his personal and professional business manager and financial advisor.

- 54. As of 1989 Sly Stone believed, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein had special knowledge and skills regarding financial management and the business of music, skills which Sly Stone did not have. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges that as of 1989 Defendant Goldstein had been involved in the music business for approximately twenty years, had produced several hit songs for the band WAR, and had produced a number of other hit songs including *Hang on Sloopy*, *My Boyfriend's Back*, *I Want Candy*, and *Slipping into Darkness*.
- 55. At all times herein mentioned, Sly Stone reposed trust and confidence in Defendant Goldstein, and Defendant Goldstein voluntarily accepted such trust and confidence of Sly Stone, and because Defendant Goldstein represented he would and agreed to be Sly Stone's personal and business manager, provide Sly Stone with financial advice, and manage and take care of all Sly Stone's personal and professional financial interests; Defendant Goldstein owed Sly Stone a fiduciary duty.
- 56. Defendant Goldstein knew or should have known that in 1988 and 1989 Sly Stone was particularly vulnerable to duress and undue influence because his drug addiction had led him to legal trouble. His Royalties had been levied. He had no record deal. Consequently Sly Stone had no income with which to support himself.
- 57. In December 1988 Defendant Goldstein, through his company, Defendant Goldstein Music, made loans to Sly Stone in amounts ranging from one hundred dollars (\$100) to seven hundred dollars (\$700) to pay for Sly Stone's living expenses, food and drugs, including cocaine. Defendant Goldstein made approximately thirty (30) loans in the span of two and a half months from December 11, 1988 through February 23, 1989. During that time Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone gave Sly Stone cocaine on several occasions. Sly Stone believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein knew at the time Defendant

12

13

8

14 15

16

17 18 19

2021

2223

24

25

26 27

28

Goldstein made the loans to Sly Stone that Sly Stone was using at least a portion of Defendant Goldstein's money to support his highly addictive cocaine drug habit.

58. Near the end of February 1989 Defendant Goldstein informed Sly Stone that unless and until Sly Stone signed an agreement with Defendant Goldstein or a Defendant Goldstein-controlled entity to act as Sly Stone's manager of all of Sly Stone's personal and professional financial interests, Defendant Goldstein would not give Sly Stone any more cocaine or loan Sly Stone any more money. Sly Stone had little or no bargaining power because he was addicted to cocaine and sedatives, was a fugitive, had no money available to him and believed no Royalties were payable to him at that time.

b. Defendant Stone Fire / Even St.

- On or about February 27, 1989, Defendant Goldstein refused to lend Sly Stone 59. any more money unless and until Sly Stone signed a document entitled "Employment Agreement" which Defendant Goldstein handed to him in the presence of Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Goldstein's and Defendant Music Company's lawyer. Sly Stone did not draft any part of the document. Sly Stone did not have an attorney who represented his interests review the document. When he asked what the document was, he was told by Defendant Topley, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone it was an management agreement which would allow Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St., then known as Stone Fire (hereinafter referred to as Defendant Even St.), to provide financial advice to Sly Stone and to act on behalf of Sly Stone; manage and take care of all of his personal and professional financial affairs; and assist him with his career as long as Sly Stone wanted them to do so in return for the usual and customary fees for these services and reimbursement of third party fees and costs for professional accounting and legal services. Based on these representations Sly Stone signed the document and Defendant Goldstein immediately gave him a two hundred dollar (\$200) cash "advance."
- 60. Decades later Sly Stone discovered the documents Defendant Goldstein gave to him and Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone encouraged him to sign were, in fact, an employment agreement for Sly Stone to be employed by Defendant Even St. with an

assignment of rights explicitly incorporated into the employment agreement for which there was no separate consideration and which were both signed at the same sitting and at the same time. The employment agreement also incorporated by reference a list of monies advanced by Defendant Goldstein Music to Sly Stone (these three documents are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Employment Agreement"). A true and correct copy of the Employment Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- 61. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that he was induced to sign the Employment Agreement as a result of fraudulent misrepresentations made by Defendant Topley, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone about the legal effect of and the rights granted to Defendant Even St. by the terms of the Employment Agreement and due to duress by, and the undue influence of, these defendants.
- 62. Sly Stone further alleges Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone took advantage of his diminished mental capacity due to his drug addiction and its effects. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the Employment Agreement and the resulting assignment of Sly Stone's Royalties was and is unconscionable.
- to Defendant Even St. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Glenn Stone was a manager, officer and/or director of Defendant Even St., and at all material times was an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of New York. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges at all material times Defendant Even St. was represented by Defendant Glenn Stone, who drafted the Employment Agreement on behalf of Defendant Even St., misrepresented the legal effect of and the rights assigned by the Employment Agreement, encouraged Sly Stone to sign the Employment Agreement without reading it or reviewing it with legal counsel, and was present when Sly Stone signed the Employment Agreement.
- 64. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Glenn Stone knew, but did not reveal to Sly Stone, the hidden and actual purpose of the Employment

б

Agreement¹ was for Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Music Companies to acquire the right to collect the Royalties, not to manage and take care of all of Sly Stone's personal and professional financial interests, provide financial advice to Sly Stone, or assist Sly Stone with his career.

- 65. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the officers and directors of Defendant Even St. at the time the Employment Agreement² was signed by Sly Stone were Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone; Defendant Topley signed the Employment Agreement on behalf of Defendant Even St.; and the acts of Defendant Even St. as herein alleged were undertaken by and through said individual defendants, with the advance approval and subsequent ratification of all of the officers, managing agents, and directors of Defendant Even St., and pursuant to its fixed company policy.
- 66. Sly Stone did not receive a copy of the Employment Agreement from Defendant Topley, Defendant Goldstein or Defendant Even St. when, or at any time after, he signed it. Based on the representations made to him by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone, Sly Stone believed he had agreed to employ Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. to provide him with financial advice, manage and take care of his personal and professional financial interests, and assist him with his career as long as he wanted them to do so until 2009 when Sly Stone received a copy of the Employment Agreement from a third party and read the Employment Agreement.

c. Demands for Money and Accountings

67. In the years subsequent to 1989 Sly Stone asked Defendant Goldstein for money from his Royalties to support himself. Defendant Goldstein gave Sly Stone "advances" against Sly Stone's future Royalties while telling Sly Stone there were no Royalties payable to Sly Stone at that moment. When Sly Stone asked Defendant Goldstein for an accounting of any Royalties received by Defendant Goldstein or Defendant Even St. on his behalf, he was

¹ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

² See, supra, n.1.

2.2

informed by Defendant Goldstein that either there were little or no Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone or that any Royalties Defendant Goldstein collected were less than the 'advances' Defendant Goldstein made to, or allegedly for the benefit of, Sly Stone.

- 68. It was reasonable for Sly Stone to rely on Defendant Goldstein's misrepresentations. As of 1989 Sly Stone believed he owed millions of dollars to the IRS and the FTB which they had levied on his Royalties. Sly Stone released his last record in the mid 1980s and believed the Royalties would diminish over time. The last Royalty payment by Defendant BMI which Sly Stone was aware of was in 1979 for thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000). Unbeknownst to Sly Stone, instead of decreasing, the Royalties from Sly Stone's musical compositions and the Sly and The Family Stone master recordings were dramatically increasing and the IRS and FTB levies on his Royalties were released in or about 1996.
- 69. From 1989 through 2008, Sly Stone was unaware any substantial Royalties were due and payable to him from Defendant BMI, Defendant Sony Music, Defendant Warner/Chappell, Defendant Warner-Tamerlane, Defendant SoundExchange or from any other royalty collecting companies ("Royalty Collecting Companies") or any other sources. During that time period Sly Stone repeatedly asked Defendant Goldstein, about the Royalties due and payable to him. Defendant Goldstein repeatedly told Sly Stone no Royalties were due and/or payable to him and the Royalties collected by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. were less than the advances Defendant Goldstein or Defendant Even St. had given to him. Although Defendant Goldstein always told Sly Stone he would provide him with an accounting of the Royalties he never gave Sly Stone an accounting. Sly Stone reasonably believed Defendant Goldstein's misrepresentations.
- 70. Neither Defendant Goldstein nor any of the Defendant Music Companies furnished Sly Stone with a true or accurate accounting of the Royalties received by them on behalf of Sly Stone from 1989 to date. Sly Stone repeatedly asked Defendant Goldstein to see reports or documents concerning the Royalties. Defendant Goldstein promised he "...would take care of it..." However, Defendant Goldstein did not produce the requested documents. Instead he told Sly Stone no Royalties were payable to him. During the twenty years

claims in this Complaint are barred by any statute of limitation.

71. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Music Companies, from 1989 to date, wrongfully collected millions of dollars of Royalties.

thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are estopped from asserting any of the

Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. managed his career and his personal and

d. No Performance by Defendant Even St.

72. Defendant Even St. failed to perform its obligations to Sly Stone under the terms of the Oral Agreement or the Employment Agreement.³ First, during the first four years of the Employment Agreement, Defendant Even St. was to pay Sly Stone the aggregate sum of five hundred seventy five thousand dollars (\$575,000) in part payment of his 'wages.' Defendant Even St. did not pay these wages to Sly Stone, a material breach of the Employment Agreement, if the employment agreement was valid and enforceable, which is not admitted but specifically denied. Second, Section 3 of the Employment Agreement provides Defendant Even St. "...shall pay to Employee fifty percent (50%) of the Companies net profits..." and further

³ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

28 8 See, supra, n.4.

provides "[t]he Employee's portion of the anticipated net profits shall be made available to the Employee within ten (10) days of receipt of gross income." Neither Defendant Goldstein, nor Defendant Even St., nor any of the other Defendant Music Companies made these payments in material breach of the Employment Agreement 4. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges, the Defendant Music Companies affiliated with Defendant Even St. were used by Defendant Even St. for the collection, diversion, conversion, or misappropriation of Royalties in violation of the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement. Third, Section 5 of the Employment Agreement⁵ provides Defendant Even St. "...agrees to maintain accurate books and records of all transactions, which books and records may be inspected by...the Employee himself..." Sly Stone repeatedly asked to see the books and records of Defendant Even St. Defendant Goldstein and the other Defendant Goldstein Collaborators refused to allow Sly Stone to inspect the books and records of Defendant Even St.

The material breaches of Defendant Even St. and its officers, directors and managers rose to the level of non-performance of the Employment Agreement.⁶ Instead of honoring the terms and conditions of the Employment Agreement⁷ and in violation of the Oral Agreement, Defendant Even St. and its officers, directors and managers were diverting, converting, or misappropriating virtually all the Royalties for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

Employment Agreement Void Ab Initio

The Employment Agreement⁸ was unconscionable at the time it was signed because a) the terms of the agreement were misrepresented to Sly Stone; b) it required Sly Stone to sign over all of his past-earned and ongoing Royalties in consideration for an offer of employment by Defendant Even St.; c) it is against public policy for an employer to require an employee to give up a substantial amount of his past-earned Royalties and/or future earnings

Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void ab initio or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches. ⁵ See, supra, n.4.

⁶ See, supra, n.4. ⁷ See, supra, n.4.

for the purpose of gaining employment; d) the Employment Agreement provided Sly Stone's future wages would be paid out of his past-earned or on-going future Royalties not from money earned by virtue of the work done by Sly Stone in the course and as a result of his employment by Defendant Even St.; e) Defendant Even St. made no representation it would and in fact did not keep the employee's personal property in trust for the employee; f) there was a gross disparity in the values exchanged in the Employment Agreement; and g) there was a gross inequality in bargaining power at the time the Employment Agreement was signed with terms unreasonably favorable to Defendant Even St.

- 75. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that it is unconscionable for an employee to pay an employer for the right to be employed and to continue to remain employed. This was the de facto effect of the Employment Agreement. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges at the time the Employment Agreement was signed, the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, including Defendant Even St. understood and intended this de facto effect of the Employment Agreement.
- 76. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the true purpose and objective of the Employment Agreement was illegal for an employer to wrongfully acquire and misappropriate an employee's separate personal property for the benefit of the principals of the company. The Employment Agreement is against public policy, which protects an employee's separate personal property from the employer.

Defendant Even St.'s Misrepresentation to Royalty Collecting Companies

- 77. Sly Stone reasonably relied on Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Even St. and its officers, managers and directors to truthfully and honestly deal with the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies for Sly Stone's benefit.
- 78. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Goldstein, either individually or on behalf of Defendant Even St. forwarded only the

⁹ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

10 See, supra, n.9.

assignment portion of the Employment Agreement, as opposed to the entire Employment Agreement, to the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies with the intent to, and for the purpose of, diverting, converting or misappropriating Royalties.

- 79. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Goldstein, either individually or on behalf of Defendant Even St., intentionally failed to disclose to the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies that a) the assignment was integrated with the Employment Agreement; b) the Employment Agreement had a term of five (5) years; c) the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio*; or, d) in the alternative, if the Employment Agreement was not void *ab initio*, which is not admitted but specifically denied, the Employment Agreement had been materially breached by Defendant Even St..
- 80. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges during the stated term of the Employment Agreement¹¹, there was never a recording contract with a third party for Sly Stone's services endorsed by Sly Stone which would have extended the term of the Employment Agreement beyond February 27, 1994. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Even St. and Fictitious Defendants did not disclose this information to the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies because they intended to deceive the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies to believe the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement was a separate and distinct agreement.
- 81. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein's, Defendant Glenn Stone's and Defendant Even St.'s failure to disclose the terms of the alleged integrated Employment Agreement or the Oral Agreement to the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies made the disclosure of only the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement deceptive.
- 82. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges the Royalty Collecting Companies did not know of the existence and terms of the entire Employment

¹¹ See, supra, n.9.

Agreement until 2009. Sly Stone was not informed by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Even St. or its officers, managers or directors, including Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone, of Defendant Even St.'s concealment of the existence and terms of the Employment Agreement and/or the Oral Agreement to the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies.

- 83. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges he was substantially and materially harmed as a result of concealment by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Even St. and some or all of the other Defendant Music Companies of material information from the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies regarding the Employment Agreement ¹², including, but not limited to, the five (5) year term of the Employment Agreement and the material breaches by Defendant Even St. of the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement. The Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies were fraudulently induced to pay Royalties to the Defendant Music Companies, even though the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio*, breached or expired by its terms and therefore not operative.
- 84. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies should have paid Royalties to Sly Stone, not to the Defendant Music Companies.

Defendant Avenue Records

- 85. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Avenue Records had and has the same principals as Defendant Even St., was and is located in the same offices as Defendant Even St., and employed the same employees as Defendant Even St.
- 86. Sly Stone is further informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Avenue Records was "paid" out of the Royalties a ten percent (10%) administration fee and retained an additional fifty percent (50%) of all Royalties it collected on behalf of Defendant Even St. Sly Stone is informed and thereon alleges Defendant Avenue Records was used by the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators as a vehicle to improperly divert, convert or misappropriate

¹² See, supra, n.9.

the majority of the Royalties collected by Defendant Avenue Records for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

87. Sly Stone did not grant Defendant Avenue Records any ownership, or other interest in, nor did he know or consent to Defendant Avenue Records collecting his Royalties. He first learned an ownership interest in the Royalties had apparently been assigned to Defendant Avenue Records by Defendant Even St. and that Defendant Avenue Records was collecting Royalties in late 2009.

Defendant Goldstein Majoken

88. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege on or about July 30, 1996, two (2) years after the end of the term¹³ stated in the Employment Agreement, and a mere twenty-seven (27) days after the IRS served Defendant BMI with a Release of Property from Levy for the benefit of Sly Stone, Defendant Even St. established a fully owned-subsidiary corporation named "Majoken, Inc.," registered in New York ("Goldstein Majoken"). Defendant Goldstein Majoken was and is *not* Roberts Majoken nor was Defendant Goldstein Majoken related in any way to Roberts Majoken. It had a different corporate number and federal employer identification number. Roberts, the sole shareholder, officer, and/or director of Roberts Majoken has never consented to the formation of Defendant Goldstein Majoken and had no knowledge of its creation or existence until 2009. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon further allege Defendant Goldstein Majoken was created by the officers and directors of Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley, for the purpose of improperly and unlawfully acquiring through fraud and deception Royalties collected by Defendant BMI for the benefit of Sly Stone.

89. Defendant Glenn Stone wrote a letter dated August 5, 1996 to Defendant BMI alluding to Roberts Majoken and instructed Defendant BMI to send all Royalties payable to "Majoken, Inc." to a new address, the Defendant Even St.'s office in Los Angeles, State of California. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon further allege, by establishing a

¹³ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void ab initio or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

in the past, Defendant Even St. and the other Defendant Goldstein Collaborators deceived Defendant BMI. As a result of that deception the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators received checks for Royalties from Defendant BMI totaling approximately six hundred thousand dollars (\$600,000) between 1996 and 1999 payable to "Majoken, Inc. f/s/o Sylvester Stewart c/o Defendant Even St. Productions, Ltd."

90. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant BMI knew or

new corporation with the same name as the entity to which Defendant BMI had sent Royalties

- 90. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant BMI knew or should have known through the exercise of reasonable due diligence of the fraudulent activity of the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, which resulted in Sly Stone being defrauded out of Royalties due and payable to him from Defendant BMI. Defendant BMI mailed Sly Stone's Royalties to Defendant Goldstein Majoken before Defendant BMI received the August 5, 1996 authorization letter from Defendant Glenn Stone and even before Defendant Goldstein Majoken was formed.
- 91. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant BMI paid Sly Stone's second quarter of 1995 Royalties to Majoken, Inc. c/o Defendant Even St. Productions Ltd. in February 1996, five (5) months before Defendant Glenn Stone sent an authorization letter and five (5) months before the corporate formation and registration of Defendant Goldstein Majoken.
- 92. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein Majoken had the same principals as Defendant Even St., was located in the same offices as Defendant Even St., and employed the same employees as Defendant Even St. Sly Stone is further informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein Majoken was "paid" out of the Royalties a ten percent (10%) administration fee and retained an additional fifty percent (50%) of all Royalties it collected on behalf of Defendant Even St.
- 93. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein Majoken was used by Defendant Goldstein Collaborators as a vehicle to improperly divert, convert or misappropriate the majority of the Royalties collected by Defendant Goldstein

Majoken for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.

94. Sly Stone did not grant Defendant Goldstein Majoken any ownership, or other interest in, nor did he know or consent to, Defendant Goldstein Majoken collecting his Royalties. He first learned an ownership interest in the Royalties had apparently been assigned to Defendant Goldstein Majoken and that Defendant Goldstein Majoken was collecting Royalties in late 2009.

Money Acquired From Defendant Mercantile National Bank under False Pretenses

- 95. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege in 1997 Defendant Hackney was Vice President of Entertainment Lending at Defendant Mercantile National Bank, whose assets and liabilities were subsequently acquired by Defendant FCB Bancorp.
- 96. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege in 1997 Defendant Hackney either knew or should have known through due diligence customary and standard in the banking industry that as of 1997 the Music Companies had no right or entitlement to collect Royalties. There was no agreement between Defendant Goldstein Majoken and Sly Stone. The only assignment of Royalties due to Sly Stone from Defendant BMI was in favor of Roberts Majoken. By 1997 the Employment Agreement by its own terms had expired. Defendant Hackney made no attempt to communicate with Sly Stone regarding the alleged entitlement of Defendant Goldstein Majoken to collect the Royalties from Defendant BMI.
- 97. As of 1997 Defendant Hackney was Vice President of Entertainment Lending for Defendant Mercantile Bank, had worked in the banking industry for over fifteen years and in 2007 swore under oath she could attest to the standard banking practices for making loans to small, closely held corporations. It was not reasonable for Defendant Hackney to believe a document dated eight (8) years earlier purporting to assign millions of dollars of Royalties for one dollar (\$1) was an operative or complete document. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Hackney either knew, or should have known through the exercise of reasonable due diligence, a contract for personal services of a musician is not enforceable

against the musician after seven (7) years pursuant to California Civil Code § 2855(a), and any purported assignment, if part of personal services contract, more than seven (7) years old, would be unenforceable under California law.

- 98. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, from approximately 1997 to present, Defendant Hackney assisted the Music Companies and other Goldstein-controlled corporations in obtaining approximately seventeen (17) loans for at least five million dollars (\$5,000,000) from Defendant Mercantile National Bank secured by the Royalties and other assets owned and/or controlled by Defendant Goldstein and/or the Music Companies.
- 99. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Hackney had complete access to and reviewed all the financial records from the Music Companies, including loan documents, bank account statements and tax returns to determine the Music Companies creditworthiness. Prior to Defendant Mercantile National Bank making loans to the Music Companies Defendant Hackney either knew, or should have known, the other Defendant Goldstein Collaborators improperly diverted, converted or misappropriated assets out of the Music Companies for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Levine, and Fictitious Defendants and to the detriment of Sly Stone.
- 100. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Hackney knowingly and improperly aided and abetted Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Music Companies, including Defendant Goldstein Majoken, to acquire loans from Defendant Mercantile National Bank for which the Music Companies did not qualify.
- 101. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege Defendant Goldstein Majoken's officers, managers and/or directors, converted proceeds of the loans acquired from Defendant Mercantile National Bank for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.
- 102. Sly Stone has been substantially harmed because the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators with the aid and assistance of Defendant Hackney, unlawfully diverted, converted, or misappropriated the Royalties.

Fabricating Tax Returns

- 103. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege in or about 2003 Defendant Hackney, either individually and/or through her corporation, Defendant Colombia Street, Inc., became the personal financial consultant to the Music Companies.
- Defendant Hackney assisted the Music Companies to hide assets, specifically the Royalties, from both Sly Stone and Defendant Levine after Defendant Levine filed a palimony suit against Defendant Goldstein. Defendant Hackney prepared fabricated federal and state tax returns for Sly Stone purportedly for the tax years 2003 through 2006. These tax returns purported to show Sly Stone earned money which Sly Stone did not receive. Sly Stone did not agree with the contents of these fabricated tax returns, did not sign them, and did not file them.
- were prepared for the purpose of hiding Defendant Music Companies assets that were misappropriated from the Defendant Music Companies by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges Defendant Hackney, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone planned and believed based on the history of Defendant Goldstein's relationship with Sly Stone and Sly Stone's drug addiction that Sly Stone would sign the tax returns without reviewing them with anyone or reading them.

Misrepresentations to the Internal Revenue Service by Defendant Even St.

- as a fifty percent (50%) shareholder of Defendant Even St. on a 2004 IRS Form 1120 for Defendant Even St. which Defendant Goldstein represented in a declaration under oath dated July 3, 2007 was filed with the IRS. Sly Stone had no knowledge Defendant Even St. was misrepresenting Sly Stone as a shareholder of Defendant Even St. to the IRS.
- 107. Sly Stone never knowingly agreed to be nor knowingly consented to become a shareholder of Defendant Even St. He never knowingly purchased any shares of Defendant Even St. He never knowingly authorized any Royalties due to him from Defendant Even St. to

Defendant Even St. Sly Stone has never knowingly authorized any loans to be made to him by Defendant Even St. or any other person or entity to purchase shares of, or an ownership interest in Defendant Even St.

108. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St.'s

be used to purchase shares of Defendant Even St. on his behalf. He never knowingly

authorized any other consideration to be used to acquire shares of, or an ownership interest in,

108. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St.'s officers and directors, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley knowingly fabricated and manufactured the corporate records of Defendant Even St. to further their scheme to deceive and convince the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies that payments of Royalties to the Defendant Music Companies were authorized by Sly Stone or otherwise legitimate.

Misappropriation / Conversion of Money from Music Companies

- 109. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, all of the financial records for the Music Companies are maintained in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 110. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein is the sole signatory on each of the bank accounts held by or for the benefit of the Music Companies.
- 111. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, the Goldstein Collaborators scheme involved, and continues to involve, making unauthorized and improper transfers of money from the Defendant Music Companies for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants over which Defendant Goldstein and/or Defendant Levine exercise complete dominion and control.

Diversion of Money Due to Sly Stone

112. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley, conspired to divert, convert, or misappropriate, the Royalties and intellectual property belonging to Sly Stone including the trade name and trademark Sly and The Family Stone.

- 113. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, and Defendant Glenn Stone used the misappropriated Royalties to pay for their personal expenses, including to pay for, furnish and maintain their personal residences.
- 114. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine used the misappropriated Royalties to pay legal fees associated with various lawsuits commenced by and/or defended for their benefit, as well as for legal fees associated with the purchase of, and financing derived from, the personal purchase and/or maintenance of numerous real estate properties, located throughout the world (the "Properties"), as well as for other personal matters.
- 115. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, in or around December 2005, Defendant Even St., without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone, received a check in the amount of eight hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$825,000.00) from Sony Music as an advance against Royalties.
- 116. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine and Defendant Glenn Stone diverted, converted, or misappropriated the entire eight hundred twenty five thousand dollars (\$825,000.00) for their own personal use to acquire and maintain some or all of the Properties.
- 117. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, in or around November 2006, Defendant Even St., without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone, entered into an agreement with recording artist Janet Jackson, among others, to settle certain copyright infringement claims made by Defendant Even St. allegedly on behalf of, but without the knowledge or consent of, Sly Stone.
- 118. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Glenn Stone negotiated the settlement with representatives of Janet Jackson on behalf of Defendant Even St. and Defendant Even St. received two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00)
- 119. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, and Defendant Glenn Stone diverted, converted or

misappropriated, the two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) for their own personal gain and benefit.

Misappropriation and/or Conversion of Assets by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley to Purchase Real Property

Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, 120. with the aid and assistance of Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Hackney wrongfully diverted converted or misappropriated Royalties to purchase the Properties, without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone.

Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges, the Properties Defendant 121. Goldstein and/or Defendant Levine acquired or maintained via the improper diversion, conversion, or misappropriation of Royalties include, but may not be limited to:

		Address	Owners of Record	Year Acquired
	(a)	22058 Pacific Coast Highway, Malibu, California	Gerald Defendant Goldstein Revocable Trust	1976
	(b)	1027 Napoli Drive, Pacific Palisades, California	Amadeus Trust	2000
	(c)	15 East 69 th Street, #4D, New York, New York	Amadeus Trust	2000
	(d)	3800 Wailea Alanui, #B101, Wailea, Maui, Hawaii	Claire Levine and Gerald Goldstein	2003
	(e)	11847 Gorham Avenue, #303, Los Angeles, California	Amadeus Trust	2004
	(f)	199 Knightsbridge, #602, London, England SW7 1RH	Avitta Properties Limited	2004
-	(g)	888 Napoli Drive, Pacific Palisades, California	Amadeus Trust	2005
	(h)	40 Bond Street, #TH4, New York, New York	Amadeus B, LLC	2006 .

(i)	3800 Wailea Alanui, #E201, Wailea, Maui,	Amadeus Trust	2006
1	Hawaii		

- (i) 12 Woodcock Lane, Westport, Connecticut J. Levine 2006
- 122. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, the total approximate current fair market value of the Properties is over eighty million dollars (\$80,000,000).
- 123. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, the Properties were purchased by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine either through, or with assistance of, the other Defendant Goldstein Collaborators and/or the Defendant Goldstein Entities.
- 124. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, titles to the Properties were transferred by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine to the owners of record set forth above in ¶121 of the Complaint.
- 125. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges purported owners, shareholders, managers, directors, principals, settlors, or trustees Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Avitta Properties and Defendant J. Levine are not bona fide purchasers for value of the Properties.
- 126. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and/or Defendant Levine used Defendant Amadeus Capital and Defendant Amadeus Trust for the purpose of hiding and/or sheltering certain Properties, acquired with Royalties diverted, converted, or misappropriated from Sly Stone.
- 127. The purchase of each of the Properties was made without the consent or knowledge of Sly Stone, and without registering the Properties in Sly Stone's name or acknowledging Sly Stone's constructive ownership of the Properties.
- 128. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, in addition to purchasing the Properties with Royalties diverted, converted or misappropriated from Sly Stone, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine used these funds to maintain the Properties to the detriment of the Sly Stone.

129. The officers and directors of the Defendant Music Companies, including Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone have failed to employ corporate formalities required by officers and directors, abandoned any duty of care or duty of loyalty, and engaged in self-dealing, using the Defendant Music Companies as merely a tool to help themselves defraud Sly Stone out of the Royalties.

Discovery of the Contract and Tort Causes of Action

130. In the relationship between Sly Stone and Defendant Goldstein, Sly Stone was entirely dependent on Defendant Goldstein. Defendant Goldstein told Sly Stone there were little or no Royalties and because of liens filed against and levies on the Royalties by the IRS and FTB, other unspecified "problems with the IRS" and that because of these issues Sly Stone could not have any assets in his name or receive the Royalties directly. Sly Stone did not have an independent accountant or an attorney. Until early 2008 Sly Stone survived on "advances" against his Royalties from Defendant Goldstein or the Defendant Music Companies. In late 2007 Defendant Goldstein told Sly Store neither he nor the Defendant Music Companies could give Sly Stone any more money because of an IRS lien and other unspecified "problems with IRS." However, the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators continued to receive millions of dollars in Royalties.

when Defendant Goldstein refused to give him any more "advances" against his Royalties, he become homeless and dependant on social security to survive, and his friends began calling the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies. The Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies refused to provide any accounting of the Royalties. However, in 2009, once they were provided with a copy of the Employment Agreement they agreed to hold the Royalties pending clarification of the Defendant Music Companies right or authority to collect and receive the Royalties. On multiple occasions in 2008 Sly Stone and his authorized representative, Charles Richardson, issued written demands to Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. to provide to Sly Stone an accounting of the Royalties, Royalty statements and agreements with performing rights societies, State and Federal Income Tax returns, communications to or from

13

14

6

18

19

22

24 25

23

26 27 28 the IRS and FTB, copies of W-2s, 1099s or other similar documents issued to or in Sly Stone's name, invoices from and evidence of payment to Defendant Even St., and other financial documents pertaining to Sly Stone's personal and professional financial affairs. None of these documents were provided to Sly Stone by Defendant Goldstein or Defendant Even St.

- On September 15, 2008, Defendant Levine filed a complaint against Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Amadeus Capital, and Defendant Avitta Properties asserting multiple causes of action including palimony, battery, breach of trust ("Defendant Levine Complaint"). Sly Stone first learned of and obtained a copy of the Defendant Levine Complaint in late 2009. Until Sly Stone received a copy of the Defendant Levine Complaint, he had no knowledge of the real property assets acquired with Royalties diverted, converted or misappropriated by the Goldstein Collaborators and the fraudulent transfers of real property by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine to other entities.
- On June 7, 2007 Defendant Glenn Stone, individually and on behalf of 133. shareholders of some of Defendant Goldstein's affiliated companies, including Defendant Even St., Defendant Avenue Records, Defendant Goldstein Majoken, and Defendant Goldstein Music, sued Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Levine, and Defendant J. Levine, alleging a number of torts in a verified complaint, including misappropriation of corporate assets and conversion ("Defendant Glenn Stone Complaint"). Sly Stone did not learn of, or obtain a copy of the Defendant Glenn Stone Complaint until late 2009. The Defendant Glenn Stone Complaint stated, among other things, that Defendant Goldstein was breaching fiduciary duties owed to the Music Companies and misappropriating corporate assets for his personal benefit. Until Sly Stone received a copy of the Defendant Glenn Stone Complaint, he had no way of learning this information because the business records and information pertaining to the operations of the Music Companies were not provided to Sly Stone and were kept hidden from Sly Stone by the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators. The Music Companies were all privately held companies and their company information was not available to the

public or to Sly Stone. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Glenn Stone was an officer, director, and manager of the Music Companies. He knew of, consented to, and/or participated in the misappropriation of Royalties.

134. In connection with the Defendant Glenn Stone lawsuit, Defendant Goldstein filed an affidavit dated July 3, 2007 executed by him under penalty of perjury ("Defendant Goldstein Affidavit"). The Defendant Goldstein Affidavit included as an attachment a copy of a 2004 IRS Form 1120 for Even St. Productions Ltd. ("Defendant Even St. Form 1120"), which listed Sly Stone as a fifty percent (50%) shareholder of Defendant Even St. Sly Stone did not learn of or obtain a copy of the Defendant Goldstein Affidavit or the Defendant Even St. Form 1120 until late 2009. Until Sly Stone received a copy of the Defendant Goldstein Affidavit and Defendant Even St. Form 1120, he had no way of knowing that Defendant Even St. considered or represented him as a fifty percent (50%) shareholder of Defendant Even St. because business records pertaining to Defendant Even St., including Defendant Even St.'s tax returns, were kept hidden from Sly Stone.

Dickerson, Lee Oskar Levitin, and Howard Scott, sued Defendant Goldstein and some of his related entities in a second amended complaint alleging, among multiple causes of action, breach of fiduciary duty and constructive fraud ("WAR Complaint"). On October 6, 2009, the same plaintiffs filed a complaint against Defendant Goldstein and some of the related entities seeking declaratory relief, and accounting, and a constructive trust for unjust enrichment ("WAR Complaint II"). Collectively, the WAR Complaints provided evidence of a pattern and practice of Defendant Goldstein diverting, converting or misappropriating Royalties due to writers of inusical compositions. Sly Stone did not learn of the WAR Complaints until late 2009 and early 2010.

136. Sly Stone did not obtain a copy of the Employment Agreement until approximately June 2009 when it was handed to him by Willem Alkema who received the Employment Agreement from two Sly Stone biographers, Edwin and Arno Konings (the "Konings"), from the Netherlands. The Konings were given a copy of the Employment

25

Agreement by one of the approximately 250 people they interviewed for the book they are currently writing on the career and music of Sly Stone. Until Sly Stone received the Employment Agreement in approximately June 2009, he had not read and did not have a copy of the Employment Agreement.

137. After obtaining and reviewing copies of the Defendant Levine Complaint, the Defendant Glenn Stone Complaint, and the WAR Complaint, and after finally receiving a copy of his Employment Agreement in 2009, Sly Stone had sufficient information to begin to investigate the facts supporting the causes of action set forth in this Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone, Topley, Even St., and Does 1 through 10, inclusive)

- Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- On or about February 27, 1989, as the result of misrepresentations by Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone, Sly Stone signed the Employment Agreement 14 believing he was signing a document that contained the terms of the Oral Agreement.
- 140. Sly Stone did all he was required to do under the Employment Agreement 15 And the Oral Agreement Sly Stone performed all conditions, covenants and promises to be performed on his part.
- 141. Pursuant to Section 1a of the Employment Agreement, the stated term of the agreement was five (5) years. 16

¹⁴ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void ab initio or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches. See, supra, n.14.

¹⁶ See, supra, n.14.

///

///

///

142. As previously stated herein Defendant Even St. repeatedly breached the terms of the Employment Agreement by failing to perform at the times required or in the manner required of Defendant Even St. For example, in breach of section 1a of the Employment Agreement, Defendant Even St. did not pay Sly Stone seventy five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) after the first year, one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) after the end of the second year, one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00) at the end of third year, or two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) at the end of the fourth year.

143. In the further breach of the Employment Agreement¹⁷ Defendant Even St. failed to pay Sly Stone fifty percent (50%) of the net profits, as defined therein. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Employment Agreement, the net profits were to have been paid to Sly Stone within thirty (30) days of August 15th and thirty (30) days of February 15th each year. Rather than pay Sly Stone pursuant to the Employment Agreement, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley and Fictitious Defendant diverted, converted or misappropriated all the Sly Stone Royalties received by Defendant Music Companies to themselves, either directly or indirectly, via other entities owned and/or controlled by the said defendants.

Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley and Fictitious Defendants exceeded the scope of any limited power of attorney stated in the Employment Agreement, which was not a term of the Oral Agreement, by exercising such authority after the Employment Contract¹⁸ was breached and/or after the term of the Employment Agreement¹⁹.

145. Sly Stone was harmed by the above-named defendants' breach of the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement in an amount to be determined according to proof at trial but expected to be in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000).

¹⁷ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

[8] Sec. 1999 p. 17

¹⁸ See, supra n. 17. ¹⁹ See, supra, n.17.

²⁰ See, supra, n.17.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)
(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone,
Topley, Even St., and Does 1 through 10, inclusive)

- 146. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 147. By expressly and impliedly contracting as described herein, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., and Fictitious Defendants impliedly covenanted to act in good faith and to refrain from doing anything to frustrate or injure Sly Stone's benefits of the bargain of either the Employment Agreement or the Oral Agreement.
- 148. The above-named defendants unfairly interfered with Sly Stone's right to the benefits of his bargain by, among other things, a) diverting, converting or misappropriating the Royalties and income or other assets, directly or indirectly, through companies controlled by the above-named Defendants to themselves for their personal benefit; b) failing to provide Sly Stone with a copy of the Employment Agreement²⁰ (which Sly Stone did not obtain until approximately June 2009); c) repeated'y misrepresenting to Sly Stone that no money was due and payable to Sly Stone from the Royalties, making those representations when they knew them to be false; d) failing and/or refusing to provide Sly Stone any true or accurate accounting or financial reporting; e) making false reports to the IRS and the FTB regarding Sly Stone's interest in Defendant Even St.; f) failing to pay Sly Stone monies due and payable to him pursuant to the terms of the Employment Agreement or the Oral Agreement; g) exceeding the scope of the limited power of attorney stated under the Employment Agreement; h) misrepresenting the authority and/or assets of the Music Companies to third parties, such as misrepresentations to Defendant Mercantile National Bank, for the purpose of improperly

28 | 21 Se

obtaining loans from the Defendant Mercantile National Bank secured by the Royalties; and i) taking out loans or obtaining credit based on future Royalties, some of which said loans were collateralized by Properties acquired, in whole or in part, with the Royalties. The proceeds of said loans and credit were used for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, and Defendant Glenn Stone.

149. As a direct, actual, and foreseeable result of the above-named Defendants' conduct, Sly Stone has been harmed and damaged in an amount that has not yet been fully ascertained, but is expected to be in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Levine, Glenn Stone,
Topley, Even St., Goldstein Majoken, Goldstein Music, Avenue Records and Does 1
through 10, inclusive)

- 150. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 151. Despite repeated demands from Sly Stone for payment of his Royalties, the above-named defendants have not fairly and/or properly transferred Sly Stone's Royalties or other monies due to Sly Stone pursuant to the terms of the Employment Agreement²¹ or the Oral Agreement.
- 152. The above-named Defendants have been and will be unjustly enriched if they are allowed to retain the Royalties and intellectual property of Sly Stone, including but not limited to the trademark Sly and The Family Stone which they diverted, converted, or misappropriated without properly and fairly compensating Sly Stone.

²¹ See, supra, n.17.

See, supra, n.22. See, supra, n.22. See, supra, n.22. See, supra, n.22.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Rescission and Restitution)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone,

Topley, Even St. and Does 1 through 10)

- 153. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 154. The conduct of the above-named defendants failed to comport and/or comply with Defendant Even St.'s obligations under the Employment Agreement ²² or the Oral Agreement. In particular, the above-named defendants have denied Sly Stone the benefit of his bargain²³ with Defendant Even St. and such denial is so dominant and substantial as to frustrate the purported purpose of the Employment Agreement²⁴ and the Oral Agreement. Despite aiding and abetting Defendant Even St. to breach and repudiate the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement, none of the above-named defendants have tendered back to Sly Stone any of the millions of dollars they have collectively received as a result of the Employment Agreement.
- 155. The actions of the above-named Defendants, which justify the rescission of the Employment Agreement²⁵ and the Oral Agreement, were not revealed or known to Sly Stone within the applicable statute of limitations and could not have been discovered earlier by Sly Stone, who at all relevant times exercised appropriate due diligence. Subsequent to discovering the existence of the Employment Agreement and the facts justifying rescission of the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement, Sly Stone gave and hereby gives Defendant Even St. notice of rescission of the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement. Sly Stone has not received any benefits from the above-named defendants pursuant to the

Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

22 Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void ab initio or, in the alternative, the Employment

Employment Agreement or the Oral Agreement in excess of sums previously received by the above-named defendants. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists because Sly Stone contends, and each of said defendants dispute, the Employment Agreement has been duly rescinded. Sly Stone seeks a declaration that the Employment Agreement has been duly rescinded and that Sly Stone is entitled to restitution of any Royalties received by the above named defendants pursuant to, or as a result of, the Employment Agreement or the Oral Agreement. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that the parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations under the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement.

156. Sly Stone is entitled to restitution of all amounts paid to, or for the benefit of the above-named defendants in consideration of the representations and promises Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St., above-named Defendants have failed to honor, as necessary to make Sly Stone whole. In addition, Sly Stone is entitled to an order rescinding the Employment Agreement and the Oral Agreement.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Accounting)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein Collaborators and Royalty Collecting Companies)

- 157. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 158. As a result of Defendant Goldstein, individually and on behalf of Defendant Even St. agreeing to provide Sly Stone with financial advice and to manage and take care of Sly Stone's personal and financial interests and professional career a contractual and a fiduciary relationship existed between Defendant Goldstein Collaborators and Sly Stone.
- 159. Due to the wrongful acts of the above named defendants as alleged herein and the transactions by and between Defendant Goldstein Collaborators and Defendant Royalty

Collecting Companies, Sly Stone is entitled to an accounting of the receipt and disbursement of his Royalties and payment of an amount that can best be determined by reference to the information in the possession of the above named defendants.

- 160. Defendant Even St. failed to provide a true and accurate accounting to Sly Stone of the Royalties received by the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators and refused to provide important and relevant information to Sly Stone within the applicable statute of limitations.
- Companies of the Royalties and other Royalties they have paid to the Defendant Music Companies. The Defendant Royalty Collection Companies, with the exception of Defendant BMI have failed and or refused to provide the requested accounting to Sly Stone. No other legal remedy can afford Sly Stone adequate relief because only an accounting can ascertain the actual amount of money the above named defendants owe to Sly Stone.
- 162. Based on the foregoing Sly Stone is entitled to an accounting and requests the Court to order such an accounting from the above named defendants.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone,

Topley, Levine, Even St., and Does 1 through 10)

- 163. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley, individually and as officers, directors and/or owners of Defendant Even St. Throughout his dealings with the above-named defendants, Sly Stone reasonably relied on them to treat him fairly and act in his best interest. The above-named defendants were bound to act diligently and faithfully for the benefit of Sly Stone, to disclose all relevant and material information and to properly account for the

Royalties and other assets of Sly Stone because of the fiduciary and confidential relationship that they had cultivated and developed with Sly Stone.

165. Sly Stone relied on Defendant Even St., its principals Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley, and Fictitious Defendants to act in his best interests when they collected the Royalties and revenues generated from the licensing of his intellectual property and to correctly report, account for, and pay over Royalties to Sly Stone. ²⁶

Topley and Defendant Even St. breached the fiduciary duties they individually owed to Sly Stone by knowingly, e.g., a) failing to collect and pay to Sly Stone all Royalties due and payable to him; b) misrepresenting the amount of Royalties that were due and payable to Sly Stone; c) diverting, converting, or misappropriating the Royalties to other entities, including but not limited to Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Avenue Records and Fictitious Defendants and ultimately to themselves for their own personal gain without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone; d) failing to conduct business affairs as a reasonably prudent business person would conduct such affairs by, among other things, misrepresenting to Defendant Mercantile National Bank the scope of authority of Defendant Even St. and Defendant Goldstein Majoken and the lack of authority of Defendant Goldstein Majoken to assign Royalties from defendant BMI; e) making improper deductions from and improperly withholding Royalties owed to Sly Stone; and f) diverting, converting, or misappropriating, and co-mingling Royalties with other assets and accounts of the Goldstein Collaborators.

167. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St., and its officers and directors Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley breached their fiduciary duties to Sly Stone if Sly Stone was or is a stakeholder in Defendant Even St., which is not admitted but specifically denied, by a) failing to adhere to corporate formalities, including, but not limited to, failing to give notice of and hold annual shareholder

²⁶ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

28 ///

///

///

meetings; b) failing to reveal corporate records to Sly Stone, in particular records concerning Royalties; c) breaching the fiduciary duty of loyalty by misappropriating corporate assets for personal gain; d) breaching the fiduciary duty of care by, among other things, creating or employing unnecessary entities such as Defendant Avenue Records, Defendant Goldstein Music, and Defendant Goldstein Majoken for the purpose of diverting, converting or misappropriating Royalties from Defendant Even St.; e) breaching the fiduciary duty of care by misrepresenting to Defendant Mercantile National Bank and the Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies that Defendant Even St. owned rights that it did not have; and f) making misrepresentations to and filing false documents with the IRS and the FTB about monies paid to, or for the benefit of Sly Stone and the ownership of Defendant Even St. Sly Stone did not give any consent to the conduct of the above-named defendants.

168. As a result of breaches of their fiduciary duties owed to Sly Stone by the abovenamed defendants, Sly Stone has sustained substantial compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial. These damages are not yet fully ascertained, but in any event are not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000).

Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., were willful, intentional, malicious, and oppressive, and undertaken with the intent to defraud Sly Stone, justifying the award of exemplary and punitive damages. These acts were despicable and done in conscious disregard of the rights of Sly Stone. These acts were undertaken with the actual intent to inflict damage and to harm Sly Stone. Sly Stone is entitled to an award of punitive and exemplary damages in a sum according to proof because Defendants' malice and by reason of their constructive fraud as alleged herein by incorporation, Sly Stone is entitled to an award of punitive and exemplary damages in a sum according to proof.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein Collaborators and Does 1 through 50, inclusive)

- 170. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference in Sly Stone's claim for fraud against the above-named defendants, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- Defendant Glenn Stone, individually and on behalf of Defendant Even St., by making certain representations, fraudulently induced Sly Stone to sign the Employment Agreement. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone intentionally misrepresented to Sly Stone that they were presenting Sly Stone with document that contained the terms of the Oral Agreement. At the time Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone made these misrepresentations, they knew they were false and concealed the truth with the intent to defraud Sly Stone and induce him to sign the Employment Agreement. In reliance on the misrepresentations made by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone, Sly Stone signed the document they handed him which did not contain any of the terms and conditions of the Oral Agreement which is the document Sly Stone believed he was signing. At the time Sly Stone signed the Employment Agreement he was unaware of the misrepresentations or concealed facts and would not have signed the Employment Agreement had he known the truth.
- 172. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleged Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone, through Defendant Even St., used the fraudulently obtained Employment Agreement to make intentional misrepresentations to the defendant Royalty Collecting Companies. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone actively concealed the truth by sending only the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement to the Royalty Collecting Companies. Sly Stone is informed and

believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone knew at the time they entered into agreements on Sly Stone's behalf, the agreements with the Royalty Collecting Companies were based upon a fraud on Sly Stone, or in the alternative, the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement was an integrated part of an entire agreement. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that in reliance upon the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement, Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies paid Royalties to Even St. and Sly Stone was materially damaged because of their reliance.

- 173. After Sly Stone agreed to hire Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St., these defendants, without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone, entered into agreements with Defendant Music Companies to collect the Royalties for a fee and a percentage ownership interest in the Royalties with the intent and result of diverting, converting, or misappropriating the Royalties for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants.
- 174. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein, on behalf of himself and on behalf of entities which he controlled, including but not limited to, Defendant Music Companies, with the knowledge and consent of Defendant Topley, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Levine, Defendant Hackney, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants, represented to Sly Stone repeatedly, multiple times per year, on occasion in the presence of Defendant Glenn Stone or Defendant Levine, that there were no Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone; that Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Music Companies had "advanced" far more money to Sly Stone than the Music Companies had received in Royalties. At the time Defendant Goldstein made these statements to Sly Stone, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Levine, and Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants knew they were false.
- 175. Defendant Goldstein, with knowledge and consent and with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendant Goldstein Collaborators, also falsely and fraudulently and repeatedly represented to Sly Stone on several occasions in the presence of Defendant Levine,

9

13

12

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20

2122

23 24

25 26

> 27 28

Defendant Topley and Defendant Glenn Stone, that Sly Stone could not hold property in Sly Stone's name because of problems Defendant Goldstein alleged Sly Stone had with the IRS including liens and levies on the Royalties. At the time Defendant Goldstein made these statements to Sly Stone, Defendant Goldstein Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants knew they were false.

Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein 176. made these false statements to Sly Stone with the knowledge and consent of Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants for the purpose of lulling Sly Stone into complacency so he would not act upon his rights and demand payment of the Royalties, would not terminate his agreement with Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St.; and would allow Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Music Companies to continue to collect the Royalties, with the intent to defraud Sly Stone and to induce his detrimental reliance. Meanwhile, the Defendant Music Companies with the knowledge and consent of Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants were collecting substantial Royalties and diverting, converting or misappropriating the Royalties for the personal benefit of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Fictitious Defendants', all of which facts were fraudulently concealed from Sly Stone from 1989 to the present.

177. At all material times the above-named defendants through the false statements made by Defendant Goldstein to Sly Stone with the knowledge and consent of Defendant Topley, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants intended to defraud Sly Stone and intended to induce Sly Stone's reliance to cause a) Sly Stone to believe Sly Stone had agreements with Defendant Goldstein, or Defendant Even St. to collect the Royalties on behalf of Sly Stone; b) to make Sly Stone believe there were no Royalties in

 excess of the "advances" made to him by Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Even St.; c) to make Sly Stone believe he could not collect the Royalties because of IRS problems including liens and levies; d) to make Sly Stone dependent on Defendant Goldstein; and e) to deter Sly Stone from seeking professional advice and assistance from accountants and lawyers. Sly Stone was ignorant of the true facts at the time Defendant Goldstein made these false representations. The above-named Defendants agreed and conspired to fraudulently conceal the true facts from Sly Stone, and Sly Stone relied on Defendant Goldstein's false representations and concealments to his detriment. In reliance upon these false representations and in ignorance of the concealed and true facts, Sly Stone failed to take measures to ensure that the Royalties were properly being paid to him, failed to terminate the employment of Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. under the Oral Agreement and refrained from seeking legal assistance to recover the Royalties due and payable to him.

178. Sly Stone was harmed by these misrepresentations because he believed them to be true. Sly Stone did not realize and could not have reasonably realized that he was being deceived until after Defendant Goldstein refused to advance Sly Stone money because of tax problems and liens, he received a copy of the Employment Agreement in 2009, and the Royalty Collection Companies began speaking with people acting on his behalf after they were provided with a copy of the Employment Agreement.

Defendant Topley, and Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Hackney, individually and as president of Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants, through Defendant Even St. and Defendant Goldstein Majoken, used the fraudulently obtained Employment Agreement to make intentional misrepresentations to Defendant FCB Bancorp by actively concealing the truth and providing Defendant FCB Bancorp only the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Hackney, and Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. knew at the time they entered into agreements with Defendant FCB Bancorp that they had no authority to enter into

8

7

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

2425

2627

28

agreements for loans secured by Royalties, the assignment was based upon a fraud on Sly Stone, or in the alternative the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement was an integrated part of an entire agreement. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges in reliance upon the partial Employment Agreement presented by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Topley, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Hackney, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., Defendant Even St., and Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant FCB Bancorp made loans to Goldstein Majoken securitized by Royalties and Sly Stone was materially damaged.

Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein 180. made intentional misrepresentations to the Court regarding his ownership of Sly Stone's Royalties, Sly Stone's trademark, and in regards to Sly Stone's partial ownership in Defendant Even St. Defendant Goldstein swore in an affidavit of July 3, 2007, signed under penalty of perjury, that he "acquired all the rights to all royalties payable to" Sly Stone. Defendant Goldstein also attached a redacted portion of Defendant Even St.'s 2004 tax return which lists Sly Stone as a fifty percent (50%) owner of Defendant Even St. Furthermore, Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Glenn Stone, through Defendant Even St., have brought litigation against various parties to assert Defendant Even St.'s rights to the Sly and the Family Stone trademark, including cases against Janet Jackson and the New York Times. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein used Royalties to pursue the fraudulent claims and misrepresentations. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges when Defendant Goldstein made these representations to the court, he knew them to be false and Defendant Goldstein actively concealed the truth by showing third parties only the assignment portion of the Employment Agreement. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, in justified reliance on these misrepresentations, third parties were forced to defend themselves and enter into settlement with Even St. for which Even St. received monies which Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Levine later diverted, converted, or misappropriated, damaging Sly Stone.

181. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, the above-named defendants had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Goldstein and thereby

authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Goldstein being a majority shareholder, director, officer, and managing agent of the Defendant Music Companies.

- 182. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges the above-named defendants were active participants in the fraud alleged herein and are equally culpable along with Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. of malice, oppression, and/or fraud against Sly Stone.
- 183. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein made the misrepresentations alleged herein to Sly Stone not only in Defendant Goldstein's individual capacity, but also as a managing agent, officer and/or director of the various Defendant Music Companies and on behalf of and with the knowledge and consent of, all the above-named Defendants.
- 184. Defendant Goldstein sought and obtained Sly Stone's reliance upon the misrepresentations alleged herein, which were a substantial factor in causing Sly Stone harm. As the direct, actual and foreseeable result of the false statements made to, and the fraud perpetrated on Sly Stone by Defendant Goldstein with the knowledge and consent of the above named defendants, Sly Stone has been damaged in an amount not yet determined at this time but to be shown according to proof at trial. Such amount is currently estimated to be in the millions of dollars. As a direct and proximate result of the above-named Defendants' fraud and deceit, Sly Stone has sustained substantial compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial. These damages are not yet fully ascertained, but in any event are not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000).
- 185. The conduct of the above-named defendants as alleged herein was deceitful, fraudulent, and done with the intent of depriving Sly Stone of the Royalties and legal rights and to cause him injury. The above-named defendants conduct, as alleged herein, was malicious and despicable and subjected Sly Stone to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages against all of the above named defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Constructive Fraud)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein Collaborators,

FCB Bancorp, and Does 1 through 50)

- 186. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 187. Even if the above-named defendants did not have actual fraudulent intent when they made or consented to the false representations made to Sly Stone and did the acts alleged, which is not admitted but specifically denied herein, the confidential, fiduciary nature of the relationship between Sly Stone and the above-named defendants created an obligation in the above-named defendants to make full and complete disclosures to Sly Stone of all material facts within their knowledge relating to the duties and fiduciary obligations of Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. and the breach thereof.²⁷
- 188. The above-named defendants also had an obligation not to mislead Sly Stone for the purpose of gaining an advantage at the detriment of Sly Stone.
- Stone not to knowingly aid and abet or participate with Defendant Goldstein and the Defendant Music Companies, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, and/or Fictitious Defendants in any transactions, including but not limited to the payment of Royalties or facilitating loans being made to Defendant Even St. and Fictitious Defendants secured by the Royalties without the knowledge and consent of, and to the detriment of, Sly Stone. The above-named Defendants breached these obligations, and thereby committed constructive fraud on Sly Stone by their misfeasance, malfeasance or nonfeasance as previously alleged herein.
- 190. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges employers Defendant Music Companies had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Goldstein and

²⁷ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Goldstein being a director, officer, and managing agent of the Defendant Music Companies.

- 191. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges, the Defendant Music Companies were active participants in the constructive fraud alleged herein and are equally culpable along with the other defendants named in this cause of action of oppression, malice, and fraud against Sly Stone.
- 192. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges, employer Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Hackney and authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Hackney being a director, officer, and managing agent of Columbia Street, Inc.
- 193. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. was an active participant in the constructive fraud alleged herein and is equally culpable along with the other defendants named in this cause of action of oppression, fraud, and malice against Sly Stone.
- 194. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant BMI had a contractual obligation and common law duty not to participate in fraudulent acts such as paying Royalties to Defendant Goldstein Majoken when they knew, or should have known through the exercise of due diligence, Defendant Goldstein Majoken did not have any right to receive or encumber Sly Stone's Royalties from Defendant BMI.
- 195. Even if Defendant FCB Bancorp did not have actual fraudulent intent when they made loans to Defendant Goldstein Majoken securitized by Sly Stone's Royalties from Defendant BMI, Defendant FCB Bancorp had an obligation not to participate in fraudulent acts when they knew or should have known through the exercise of due diligence, Defendant Goldstein Majoken did not have any right to receive or encumber the Royalties from Defendant BMI.
- 196. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges employer Defendant Mercantile National Bank had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Hackney and

authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Hackney being an officer and/or managing agent of Defendant Mercantile National Bank.

- 197. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Mercantile National Bank was an active participant in the constructive fraud alleged herein, and Defendant FCB Bancorp, by virtue of having acquired the assets and liabilities of Defendant Mercantile National Bank, is equally culpable along with the individuals named in this cause of action of oppression, fraud, and malice.
- 198. As a direct and proximate result of the above-named Defendants' constructive fraud and deceit, Sly Stone has sustained substantial compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial. These damages are not yet fully ascertained, but in any event are not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000).
- 199. The conduct of the above-named defendants as alleged herein was deceitful, fraudulent, and done with the intent of depriving Sly Stone of the Royalties and legal rights and to cause him injury. The above-named defendants conduct, as alleged herein, was malicious and despicable and subjected Sly Stone to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages against all of the above named defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendant BMI)

- 200. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 201. Sly Stone first signed an agreement with Defendant BMI in approximately 1964 to grant Defendant BMI the right to collect Royalties on behalf of Sly Stone. This agreement was amended and extended numerous times through 1979. The last agreement Sly Stone signed

with Defendant BMI was dated March 19, 1979 (the "BMI Agreement"), a true and correct copy of this agreement is attached hereto and marked Exhibit "1."

- 202. The BMI Agreement was amended once in 1979. In the last thirty years the BMI Agreement has been automatically extended thirteen times for two year terms since 1979 without Defendant BMI ever communicating with Sly Stone.
- 203. Pursuant to paragraph 6 of the BMI Agreement, Defendant BMI agreed to pay Sly Stone all Royalties collected by them on his behalf after the deduction of Defendant BMI's handling charges and fees.
- 204. Pursuant to paragraph 7 of the BMI Agreement, Defendant BMI agreed to furnish statements to Sly Stone at least twice a year accompanied by payment to Sly Stone of the Royalties collected by Defendant BMI subject to all proper deductions for advances.
- 205. Sly Stone completed all, or substantially all, of the significant actions the BMI Agreement required of him.
- 206. Defendant BMI failed to comply with or meet their obligations to Sly Stone pursuant to the terms of the BMI Agreement. First, from at least 1987 through the first half of 2009 Defendant BMI, in violation of the provisions of paragraph 6 of the BMI Agreement, did not pay to Sly Stone the Royalties collected by Defendant BMI on his behalf less Defendant BMI's handling charges and fees. Second, Defendant BMI in violation of paragraph 7 of the BMI Agreement did not furnish Royalty statements to Sly Stone at least twice each year showing the monies due to Sly Stone accompanied by payment of the monies due and payable to Sly Stone.
- 207. From 1987 through 2009 Sly Stone neither received the Royalties due and payable to him by Defendant BMI nor the semi-annual Royalty statements.
- 208. As a result of BMI's failure to furnish Sly Stone with Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone or Royalty statements, Sly Stone has sustained substantial compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial. These damages are not yet fully ascertained, but in any event are not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000).

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud)

(Roberts' Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Topley, Glenn Stone, Even St., Goldstein Majoken, Hackney, Columbia Street, Inc. and Does 1 through 50, inclusive)

- 209. Roberts hereby incorporates by this reference in Roberts' claim for fraud against the above-named Defendants, each and every paragraph before, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 210. On or about October 7, 1975 Roberts caused Roberts Majoken to be formed as a New York corporation. Roberts was the sole owner, shareholder, officer, and director of Roberts Majoken.
- 211. Upon the formation of Roberts Majoken, Roberts obtained an Employment Identification Number ("EIN") for Roberts Majoken by, among other things, supplying Roberts' personal information including Roberts' social security number on IRS Form SS-4, Application for Employer Identification Number, and submitting the Form SS-4 to the IRS. The IRS then issued an EIN to Roberts Majoken.
- 212. At the time Sly Stone signed the Employment Agreement in 1989, Roberts Majoken was a New York corporation in good standing and Roberts Majoken California was registered with the California Secretary of State as doing business in the State of California.
- 213. None of the defendants named or unnamed in this complaint have any legal or equitable interest in Roberts Majoken or Roberts Majoken-California.
- 214. Roberts had not provided management services to Sly Stone since approximately 1982. In or about 1989 Roberts was informed by Sly Stone that he had employed Defendant Goldstein and a company owned by him to provide him with financial advice, manage and take care of his personal and professional life and assist him with his career. As of 1989 Roberts had no professional, business, or personal connections to any of the Defendant Goldstein Collaborators. At Sly Stone's request Roberts met with Defendant Goldstein in or about 1989. In that meeting Defendant Goldstein did not discuss nor did he

provide Roberts with a copy of the Employment Agreement. Roberts has not met with Defendant Goldstein since 1989.

- 215. Roberts had no knowledge of, and has never seen a copy of, the Employment Agreement²⁸.
- 216. Roberts has worked in the entertainment industry for decades, over which period of time Roberts developed a good reputation within the industry, including a good business relationship with Defendant BMI. A good reputation in the entertainment industry is important to create the trust and goodwill required to develop, enter into, and maintain business relationships with companies and artists in the entertainment industry. Individuals and entities in the entertainment community, in particular, Defendant BMI, associate Roberts Majoken with Roberts.
- 217. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges the New York Secretary of State dissolved Roberts Majoken on or about December 24, 1991.
- 218. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges several years later, on or about July 30, 1996, Defendant Even St. and / or its principals, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley formed a New York corporation with the same name as Roberts Majoken, but included a comma in the name to match the assignment made in 1979 to Roberts Majoken by Sly Stone of Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone by Defendant BMI.
- 219. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley formed Goldstein Majoken without the permission, authorization or knowledge of Roberts. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon further alleges Defendant Goldstein Majoken was formed by Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley with the intent and purpose to deceive Defendant BMI into paying Royalties due and payable to Roberts Majoken, Roberts or Sly Stone to Defendant Goldstein Majoken by misrepresenting Defendant Goldstein Majoken as the successor-in-interest to Roberts Majoken, which it was not.

²⁸ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

220. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges on or about August 5, 1996 Defendant Glenn Stone on behalf of Defendant Even St. and/or Defendant Goldstein Majoken wrote a letter to Defendant BMI instructing Defendant BMI to pay Royalties to Defendant Goldstein Majoken and implying Defendant Goldstein Majoken was the successor-in-interest to Roberts Majoken.

- 221. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges at the time Defendant Glenn Stone made this representation to Defendant BMI, Defendant Glenn Stone knew such representation was not true. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley and Defendant Even St. intended Defendant BMI to rely on the representation as true for the purpose of improperly diverting and converting the Royalties due and payable by Defendant BMI to Sly Stone.
- 222. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon further alleges by making such false representation, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley and Defendant Even St. were trading on the good name of Roberts, Roberts Majoken, and Roberts' good professional and business relationship with Defendant BMI. Roberts, however, had no knowledge of nor did he consent to this false representation being made by Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein, and Defendant Glenn Stone to Defendant BMI. Roberts did not and does not approve of or condone this false representation and never would have approved of or condoned this false representation being made if he had known about it.
- 223. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, from on and after 1997 Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Hackney, and Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants used Defendant Goldstein Majoken or other Goldstein-controlled entities to fraudulently obtain up to seventeen (17) loans from Defendant Mercantile National Bank to Defendant Goldstein Majoken in an amount in excess of four million dollars secured and collateralized by the future Royalties from Defendant BMI. Such loans were applied for, approved and the loan proceeds paid without Roberts' knowledge or approval. Roberts never would have approved, authorized

or condoned these transactions if had knowledge of them or had been informed of them by any of the above-named defendants and/or Defendant BMI.

- 224. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, on or about October 17, 2008, Defendant Even St. and its officers and directors, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, and Fictitious Defendants inclusively, or collaboratively, caused to be filed with the New York Secretary of State a Notice of Annulment of Dissolution of Certain Business Corporation for Roberts Majoken, for the purpose of reviving Roberts Majoken and obtaining the EIN number for Roberts Majoken, which was obtained using Roberts' social security number.
- 225. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Even St. and Fictitious Defendants intended to, and did use Roberts Majoken in furtherance of their scheme to fraudulently obtain Royalties from Defendant BMI, even though Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley had no right to the Royalties.
- 226. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the said defendants conduct constitutes the theft or the usurping of the corporate identity of Roberts Majoken in violation of California *Penal Code* § 530.6.
- 227. As a result of the conduct of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Hackney, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants, Roberts reputation in the entertainment industry has been damaged because, among other things, Roberts' name is now associated with the deceitful activities of these defendants. The misrepresentations of these defendants to Defendant BMI and to Defendant Mercantile National Bank was, and is, a substantial factor in causing harm to Roberts.
- 228. In late 2009, Roberts first learned of Defendant Goldstein Majoken's existence and the misrepresentations of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Hackney, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc. and Fictitious Defendants to Defendant BMI and Defendant Mercantile National

Bank. Roberts became aware of this information as a result of Sly Stone's counsel's research in support of Sly Stone's causes of action. Roberts would not have discovered this information on his own because a) Roberts had no contact with the above-named defendants, b) the information was private, not public, and was not disclosed to Roberts, and c) until late 2009 Roberts was unaware Defendant Goldstein Majoken existed or any loans had been made by Defendant Mercantile National Bank or Royalties paid by Defendant BMI to Defendant Even St. or Defendant Goldstein Majoken.

- 229. As the direct, actual and foreseeable result of the false statements made by and the fraud perpetrated on Roberts by the above-named defendants, Roberts has been damaged in an amount not yet determined at this time but to be shown according to proof at trial.
- 230. The conduct of the above-named defendants as alleged herein was deceitful, fraudulent, and done with the intent of causing and causing injury to Roberts. The above-named defendants conduct, as alleged herein, was malicious and despicable and subjected Roberts to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages against all of the above-named defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Constructive Fraud)

(Roberts' Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone, Topley, Even St., Goldstein Majoken, Avenue Records, Hackney, Columbia Street, Inc., FCB Bancorp, and Does 1 through 100)

- 231. Roberts hereby incorporates by this reference, each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph for Roberts' cause of action for constructive fraud asserted against the above-named defendants, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 232. Even if Defendant BMI and Defendant FCB Bancorp have no actual fraudulent intent when they aided and abetted and/or collaborated with Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Hackney, and

 Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., and Fictitious Defendants to obtaining loans from FCB Bancorp to Defendant Goldstein Majoken and/or Royalties from Defendant BMI to Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant BMI and Defendant FCB Bancorp had an obligation not to participate in fraudulent acts such as paying Royalties to Defendant Goldstein Majoken or lending money to Defendant Goldstein Majoken when they knew or with reasonable care, should have known, Defendant Goldstein Majoken did not have any right to receive or encumber the Royalties from Defendant BMI.

- 233. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges employer Defendant Mercantile National Bank had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Hackney and authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Hackney being an officer and/or managing agent of Defendant Mercantile National Bank.
- 234. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Mercantile National Bank was an active participant in the constructive fraud alleged herein, and Defendant FCB Bancorp, by virtue of having acquired the assets and liabilities of Defendant Mercantile National Bank, is equally culpable along with the individuals named in this cause of action of oppression, fraud, and malice.
- 235. Roberts is informed and believes and thereon alleges employers Defendant Music Companies had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley and authorized and ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley being directors, officers, and/or managing agents of Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken and /or Defendant Avenue Records.
- 236. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Defendant Music Companies were active participants in the constructive fraud alleged herein and are equally culpable along with the individuals named in this cause of action of oppression, fraud, and malice against Roberts.
- 237. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, employer Columbia Street, Inc. had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant Hackney and authorized and

ratified the wrongful conduct alleged in this Complaint by virtue of Defendant Hackney being a director, officer, and managing agent of Columbia Street, Inc.

- 238. Roberts is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, Columbia Street, Inc. was an active participant in the constructive fraud alleged herein and is equally culpable along with the individuals named in this cause of action of oppression, fraud, and malice.
- 239. As a direct and proximate result of the above-named defendants' constructive fraud and deceit, Roberts has been injured and is entitled to compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial.
- 240. The aforementioned conduct of the above-named defendants was deceitful, fraudulent, malicious, and oppressive and was undertaken and done with the intent to deprive Roberts of his legal rights and to cause him injury. These above-named defendants conduct, as alleged herein, was despicable and done in conscious disregard of the rights of Roberts. These acts were undertaken with the actual intent to inflict damage and to harm Roberts and subjected Roberts to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages against all of the above-named defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Levine, Glenn Stone,
Topley, J. Levine, Hackney, Columbia Street, Inc., Even St., Goldstein Majoken, Avenue
Records, Goldstein Music, Goldstein Trust, Amadeus Trust, Amadeus B, Amadeus
Capital, Avitta Properties, FCB Bancorp, and Does 1 through 50, inclusive)

- 241. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 242. Sly Stone had and has the right to be paid Royalties by the Royalty Collecting Companies.

- 243. The Defendant Goldstein Collaborators diverted, converted or misappropriated the Royalties through fraud, deceit and in breach of Sly Stone's agreement with Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Even St. as herein alleged.²⁹.
- 244. Defendant Royalty Collecting Companies and Fictitious Defendants, without the knowledge or consent of, or without ever communicating with, Sly Stone paid the Royalties to Defendant Music Companies.
- 245. On numerous occasions between 1989 and 2009 Sly Stone asked Defendant Goldstein, both personally and in Defendant Goldstein's capacity as a representative of Defendant Music Companies, for payment of his Royalties and an accounting of Royalties. Defendant Goldstein misrepresented to Sly Stone that the Royalties were subject to liens and had been levied on by the IRS and FTB and/or that no substantial Royalties were due and payable to him by the Royalty Collecting Companies. Although Defendant Goldstein promised to provide Sly Stone with an accounting of and statement for the Royalties, he never did.
- 246. Unbeknown to Sly Stone, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley were diverting, converting or misappropriating Royalties out of the Defendant Music Companies. The above-named Defendants were using this money for their personal benefit, without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone. Had Sly Stone known about this diversion, conversion or misappropriation of Royalties, Sly Stone would never have consented to it. Sly Stone does not now consent to the diversion, conversion or misappropriating of his Royalties.
- 247. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine withdrew Royalties out of the Defendant Music Companies and used such money to acquire real property, as set forth in ¶121 of this Complaint. Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine transferred the converted Properties without receiving fair market value for Properties to Defendant J. Levine, daughter of Defendant Levine, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Amadeus B, Avitta Properties Limited,

²⁹ Sly Stone contends the Employment Agreement was void *ab initio* or, in the alternative, the Employment Agreement ended long before its stated five (5) year term because of material breaches.

Defendant Goldstein Trust, and Fictitious Defendants. Until 2009, Sly Stone did not know of these transfers. Had Sly Stone known, Sly Stone never would have consented to these transfers.

- Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and 248. Defendant Levine consented, in part, to use some of the properties set forth in ¶121 of this Complaint, along with future Royalties, to receive a series of loans from Defendant Mercantile National Bank. Sly Stone first learned of these loans in 2009. Had Sly Stone known about these loans at the time Defendant Goldstein or his agents applied for them, Sly Stone never would have consented.
- Sly Stone has been harmed by the Defendants misappropriation of Royalties. 249. The actions of the Defendant were a substantial factor in causing harm to Sly Stone. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct as herein alleged, Sly Stone has sustained substantial compensatory damages in a sum according to proof at trial. These damages are not yet fully ascertained, but in any event are not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000).
- The aforementioned conduct of the Defendants was deceitful, fraudulent, 250. malicious, and oppressive and was undertaken and done with the intent to inflict damage on, to harm and to deprive Sly Stone of the Royalties and of his legal rights. These Defendants conduct, as alleged herein, was despicable and done in conscious disregard of the rights of Sly Stone. These acts subjected Sly Stone to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages against all of the Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

///

23 ///

24 ///

/// 25

26 /// 1// 27

28 ///

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants BMI, Warner/Chappell, Warner-Tamerlane, Sony, SoundExchange, and Does 50 through 100, inclusive)

- 251. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 252. Sly Stone is, and at all times herein mentioned was, the owner of, and entitled to possession of the sum of no less than five million (\$5,000,000) in Royalties from 1989 until the present.
- 253. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that once Royalties were due and payable to Sly Stone, the above-named defendants held Royalties as bailees for the benefit of Sly Stone, with an affirmative duty to pay and deliver the Royalties to Sly Stone.
- 254. At all times herein mentioned, and including, without limitation, throughout the period commencing three (3) years preceding the filing of this Complaint to the present, Defendant BMI, Defendant Warner/Chappell, Defendant Warner-Tamerlane, Defendant Sony, Defendant SoundExchange, and Fictitious Defendants, inclusive, and each of them (hereinafter referred to as the "Converting Defendants") wrongfully divested, converted, or misappropriated the Royalties for their own use and benefit without the consent of Sly Stone by misdelivering the Royalties to the wrong person or entity, as is more particularly alleged herein by incorporation. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the intentional delivery of personal property in the possession of said Converting Defendants to a person or entity that was not authorized to receive such property constitutes conversion, whether such delivery is innocent or intentional. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the Converting Defendants knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known that they were delivering the Royalties to the wrong person or entity. As is more particularly alleged herein by incorporation, said Defendants, and each of them, wrongfully delivered

Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone to persons and entities other than Sly Stone, all without Sly Stone's consent.

- 255. Sly Stone has demanded, and hereby demands, the return of the Royalties, but the Converting Defendants, and each of them, have refused and failed, and continue to refuse and fail to return or pay the Royalties to Sly Stone, except Defendant BMI, who as of January 13, 2010 has agreed to pay Royalties due and accruing due to, or for the benefit of, Sly Stone.
- 256. As a direct and proximate result of the conversion of the Royalties by the Converting Defendants, and each of them, Sly Stone has been damaged in the sum of no less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000), plus interest thereon at the legal rate, more specifically according to proof.
- 257. As is more particularly alleged herein by incorporation, Sly Stone did not know, and in the exercise of reasonable care, could not have known of, the conversion of the Royalties until a period within three (3) years of the filing of this Complaint.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Money Had and Received)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Levine, Glenn Stone, Topley,
Even St., Goldstein Majoken, Avenue Records, Goldstein Music,
and Does 1 through 100)

- 258. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 259. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., and Fictitious Defendants were placed in a position of trust by Sly Stone, and they were able to and did access, receive and possess Royalties and other money that was property for the use of Sly Stone.
- 260. Instead the above-named defendants either directly or through the use of other entities including, but not limited to, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

б 7

8

9

11

FIFTEEN'TH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraudulent Transfer)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Levine, Glenn Stone, Topley, Even St.,
Goldstein Majoken, Avenue Records, Goldstein Music, J. Levine, Amadeus Trust,
Goldstein Trust, Amadeus B, Amadeus Capital, Avitta Properties, Hackney, Columbia
Street, Inc., and Does 1 through 100, inclusive)

- 265. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 266. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Even St., and Defendant Goldstein Majoken, and Fictitious Defendants are indebted to Sly Stone for diverting, converting, or misappropriating Royalties due and payable to and which have not been paid to Sly Stone.
- 267. Defendant Goldstein, Derendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and/or Defendant Topley have diverted, converted or misappropriated Sly Stone's Royalties, either directly or indirectly, through one or more entities including, but not limited to, the Defendant Music Companies, to themselves for their personal gain. At such time as they diverted, converted or misappropriated Sly Stone's Royalties, the company or entity through which they diverted, converted or misappropriated the money did not receive reasonably equivalent value for the transfer and obligation.
- 268. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and/or Defendant Topley used several different entities through which to transfer money belonging to Sly Stone. Such entities included the Defendant Music Companies. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the Defendant Music Companies were and are located in the same office space, employ the same staff, and share the same officers, directors, and managers. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges the Defendant Music Companies were primarily used for the purpose of artificially creating unwarranted and unnecessary administration fees, or for the purpose of erecting a labyrinth of small corporations/or entities

to make it extremely difficult to trace the misappropriated funds. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges, the real effect of Defendant Music Companies was to insidiously manufacture unwarranted additional fees from which Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley would then pay, directly or indirectly, to themselves.

- 269. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant J. Levine, Defendant Hackney, Defendant Columbia Street, Inc., Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Avenue Records, and Defendant Goldstein Music are all "insiders," as such term as used in California *Civil Code* § 3439.04(b)(1).
- 270. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine diverted, converted, or misappropriated Royalties from the Defendant Music Companies to themselves, with which they then purchased real property and placed such real property into other entities including Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Amadeus Capital, and Defendant Avitta Properties. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges at the times of these transfers, neither Defendant Goldstein nor Defendant Levine received a reasonably equivalent value for the exchange or transfer of the obligation.
- 271. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine purchased property with Royalties and then transferred such property to Defendant J. Levine. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon further alleges that at the time Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine transferred property to Defendant J. Levine, they did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the transfer or obligation.
- 272. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the transfers mentioned in this section were made by Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and/or Defendant Topley with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Sly Stone from receiving his full and proper Royalties.

- 273. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges by removing substantially all the assets from the Defendant Music Companies, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and/or Defendant Topley knew that there would not be sufficient assets remaining in the Music Companies to pay Sly Stone his Royalties.
- 274. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges the transfers set forth in this section were done without the knowledge or consent of Sly Stone. Sly Stone would not have consented had he known about such transfers.
- 275. Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., Defendant Music Companies, and Fictitious Defendants made these transfers in furtherance of their fraudulent scheme to defraud Sly Stone of his assets, namely Royalties.
- 276. The fraudulent transfers set forth in this section, to the extent possible, should be set aside. These transfers were effected without adequate consideration.
- 277. As a direct, actual, and foreseeable result of the fraudulent transfers set forth in this section, Sly Stone has been damaged in an amount that has not yet been ascertained, but in any event in an amount expected to be in excess of five million dollars (\$5,000,000).
- 278. The conduct of the above-named defendants was deceitful, fraudulent, and done with the intent of depriving Sly Stone of his Royalties and his legal right to acquire such Royalties. The above-named defendants' conduct was malicious, despicable, oppressive, and fraudulent, and subjected Sly Stone to cruel and unjust hardship in disregard of his rights so as to justify exemplary and punitive damages.
- 279. The fraudulent acts and transfers as alleged above, their continued occurrence, and the threat of future fraudulent acts, make it necessary to appoint a receiver over Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Avitta Properties, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Avenue Records, Defendant Goldstein Music, and the properties at issue and enumerated in this Complaint, to preserve the property and the rights of Sly Stone.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Business Practices in Violation of

California Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq.)

(Sly Stones' Claim Against Defendants Goldstein, Glenn Stone, Topley,

Even St., and Does 1 through 100, inclusive)

- 280. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.
- 281. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, by violating the foregoing statutes, regulations and orders, and by failing to take appropriate measures to address these violations, Defendant Even St.'s acts and the acts of its affiliated companies, including, but not limited to Defendant Goldstein Majoken, constitute unfair business practices under California Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq.
- 282. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St.'s violations of California labor laws constitutes a business practice because it was done repeatedly over a significant period of time in the State of California in a systematic manner to the detriment of Sly Stone.
- 283. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. engaged in its conduct in violation of California's labor laws, California *Labor Code* §§ 400 through 410, and has benefited from its unfair business practices to the detriment of Sly Stone and thereby has injured Sly Stone and the public.
- 284. California Labor Code § 406 provides "[a]ny property put up by an employee as part of the contract of employment, directly or indirectly, shall be deemed to put up as a bond and is subject to the provisions. . . ." of the Labor Code relating to employee bonds. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 402, an employer cannot accept any cash bond from an employee unless (1) the employee is entrusted with property of an equivalent value or (2) employer regularly advances to the employee goods, wares, or merchandise to be delivered or sold by the

employee. Defendant Even St. violated *Labor Code* § 402 because Sly Stone did not receive any property of equivalent value from Defendant Even St. when Sly Stone signed the Employment Agreement and authorized Defendant Even St. to collect the Royalties on his behalf.

- 285. Defendant Even St. violated *Labor Code* § 402 because it did not advance to Sly Stone any goods, wares, or merchandise to be delivered or sold by the Sly Stone.
- 286. California Labor Code § 403 provides that any money accepted by the employer from the employee must be deposited in a savings account. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated Labor Code § 403 because Defendant Even St. did not deposit Sly Stone's money, namely his previously-earned Royalties, into a savings account.
- 287. California Labor Code § 403 provides that withdrawal on the account holding the employee's bond money must require the joint signatures of the employer and the employee. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated Labor Code § 403 by withdrawing Sly Stone's money without Sly Stone's signature authorizing the withdrawal of the money.
- 288. California Labor Code § 404 provides that any money put by an employee up as a bond must be returned to the employee with accrued interest. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated Labor Code § 404 by not returning Sly Stone's money with interest.
- 289. California Labor Code § 405 provides the money transferred to an employer from an employee cannot be commingled with the property of the employer; nor can a contract between an employer and employee abrogate the prohibition of commingled funds. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated Labor Code § 405 because Defendant Even St. commingled Sly Stone's money, namely his previously-earned Royalties, with funds of Defendant Even St.'s principals and with funds of Defendant Even St.'s affiliated companies, including, but not limited to, Defendant Goldstein Music, Defendant Avenue Records and Defendant Goldstein Majoken.

- 290. California *Labor Code* § 405 provides the employer may only use the employee's bond money to liquidate accounts between the employer and the employee. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated *Labor Code* § 405 by diverting, converting or misappropriating the Royalties for the personal benefit of the principals of Defendant Even St., including Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, and Defendant Topley.
- 291. California *Labor Code* § 405 provides that any employer who commingles or misappropriates the property of his employee or uses the property is guilty of theft. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. violated *Labor Code* § 405 by commingling and misappropriating Sly Stone's money.
- 292. California *Labor Code* § 408 provides in pertinent part an employer who violates California *Labor Code* §§ 400 through 404 is guilty of a misdemeanor and faces a fine or imprisonment. Sly Stone is informed and believes, and thereon alleges Defendant Even St. and its principals violated California *Labor Code* §§ 402, 403, and 404, as set forth above.
- 293. Sly Stone is entitled to the disgorgement and restitution by Defendant Even St. of all monies wrongfully not returned by Defendant Even St., including Defendant Even St.'s profits by reason of their wrongful conduct, together with interest, attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this Court.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Constructive Trust)

(Sly Stone's Claim Against Real Property Held by Defendants Goldstein, Levine,
Amadeus Trust, Goldstein Trust, Amadeus B, Amadeus Capital, Avitta Properties, and J.

Levine, and Does 1 through 100, inclusive)

294. Sly Stone hereby repeats, realleges, and incorporates by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.

 295. As alleged herein, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, and the Defendant Music Companies have committed acts constituting, among other things, fraud, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, and conversion.

296. Sly Stone is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant Goldstein and Defendant Levine have converted Royalties due and payable to Sly Stone to real property, which was then hidden from Sly Stone by being held and recorded in the names of other entities including, but not limited to, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Avitta Properties, and Defendant J. Levine or a trust for the benefit of Defendant J. Levine.

297. In addition to the real properties enumerated in ¶121 in this Complaint, the exact amount of money/assets to which Sly Stone is entitled is capable of exact determination until after an accounting and true resolution of this action.

298. Accordingly, Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Amadeus Trust, Defendant Goldstein Trust, Defendant Amadeus B, Defendant Amadeus Capital, Defendant Avitta Properties, and Defendant J. Levine are holding these properties and assets in constructive trust for Sly Stone and are required to convey such properties and assets to Sly Stone as adjudicated by the Court in this action.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

(Plaintiffs' Claim Against All Defendants)

299. Plaintiffs hereby repeat, reallege, and incorporate by this reference, each and every allegation from each and every paragraph before and after this paragraph, as though said paragraphs were set forth in full herein.

300. An actual and present controversy has arisen between Sly Stone and Defendants regarding their respective rights, duties, and obligations and interest in the Royalties. A judicial resolution is necessary and appropriate at this time so that the parties may ascertain their respective rights to and obligations regarding the Royalties.

301. Sly Stone hereby requests the Court adjudicate the parties rights to, duties and obligations and interest in the Royalties.

302. Plaintiffs request the Court adjudicate Plaintiffs rights with respect to FCB Bancorp, and further requests a declaration from the Court Plaintiffs are not liable to FCB Bancorp for any amount because any fraud that occurred to FCB Bancorp was caused by FCB Bancorp's own or former employee, Defendant Hackney, and the actions of Defendant Goldstein and/or Defendant Glenn Stone.

303. Sly Stone also requests the Court adjudicate and declare Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Even St., Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Avenue Records, and Defendant Goldstein Music are sham entities established to defraud Royalties from Sly Stone, and further hold the officers, directors and managers of these entities, namely Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone and Defendant Topley, jointly and severally liable along with the Defendant Music Companies for payment of Royalties to Sly Stone.

304. Sly Stone also requests the Court adjudicate and declare Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Levine, Defendant Glenn Stone, Defendant Topley, Defendant Even St., and Defendant Goldstein Majoken, Defendant Avenue Records, and Defendant Goldstein Music have no right to exploit Sly Stone's talents, skills, services, or intellectual property, including his name or trademark in any capacity, unless expressly agreed in a future writing by Sly Stone, and further declare that the above-named Defendants are not entitled to receive Royalties.

Agreement signed by Sly Stone is void *ab initio* because Defendant Goldstein, Defendant Glenn Stone, and/or Defendant Topley took advantage of Sly Stone, forcing him to sign the Employment Agreement under duress, and such agreement was in reality a subterfuge designed to separate Sly Stone from the vast majority of Royalties due and payable to him. Alternatively, Sly Stone requests the Court adjudicate and declare the contract was breached and void. In the further alternative, Sly Stone requests the Court adjudicate and declare, in the event the Court determines there was a binding written agreement between Sly Stone and

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	6. For such other further re	lief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
2	Dated: February, 2010	ALLAN LAW GROUP, P.C.		
3	Dated. 1 obtainly			
4				
5		By: Robert J. Allan, Esq.		
6		Attorney for Plaintiffs Sylvester Stewart aka Sly Stone and		
7		Ken Roberts aka Kenneth Roberts		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25		,		
26				
27				
28				
	- VY	84 ST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
	TIK91 AMENDED COMPLAINT			

BALLE.

212 956 2059

36



BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.

m West 57th Street

New York, N.Y. 10019

March 19, 1979

Mr. Sylvester Stewart 2091 Mandeville Canyon Road Los Angeles, CA 90049

Dear Mr. Stewart:

The following shall constitute the agreement between us:

1. As used in this agreement:

(a) The word "period" shall mean the term from

July 1, 1978

March 31, 1982 and continuing thereafter for additional terms of two years each unless terminated by either party at the end of said failful term or any additional term, upon notice by registered or acrificed mail not more than six months or less than sixty (60) days prior to the end of any such term.

(b) The word "works" shall mean:

- (i) All musical compositions (including the musical segments and including compositions written for a dramatic or dramatico-musical work) composed by you alone or with one or more collaborators during the
- (ii) All amsical compositions (including the musical segments and individual compositions written for a dramatic or demanticomusical work) composed by you above or with one or more callaborators prior to the period, except those is which there is an anistanding grant of the right of public performance to a person other than a mathematical test. (1881) than a publisher additional with BMI,
- (a) Within tea (10) days after the execution of this agreement you will furnish to us two caples of a accompleted characterises sheat in the form supplied by us with respect to each work hyratologic composed by you which has been published in printed capter or recorded commercially or which is being currently performed or which the proceedings of the content of th you consider as likely to be performed.
- (b) In each instance that a work for which chearance sheets have not been submitted to us pursuant to sub-paragraph (a) hereof is published in printed copies or resorted commercially or in synchronization with film or tope or is considered by you as likely to be performed, whether such work is composed prior to the axecution of this agreement or begander through the period, you will promptly furnish to us two capies of a completed clear, and this agreement to be considered by us with research to made such to be once that in the form supplied by us with respect to each such work.
- (c) If requested by us in writing, you will promptly lucalsh to us a legible lead sheet or other written or printed copy of a work.
- 3. The submission of clearance sheets pursuant to paragraph 2 hereof shall constitute a warranty by you that all of the information contained therein is true and correct and that no performing rights in such work have been granted to or reserved by others except as specifically set forth therein in connection with works heretolore written or co-written by you.

- that All the rights that you own or acquire publicly to perform, and to Re-use others to perform, for predit or otherwise, anywhere in the world, any part or all of the works.
- (b) The non-exclusive right to record, and to themse others to record, any part or all of any of the seaks on electrical transcriptions, wire, tope, film or atherwise, but only for the purpose of performing such work publicly by means of radio and television or for archive or audition purposes and not for sale to the public or such mutation with motion pictures intended primarily for the aircuit exhibition or with programs distributed by means of scaling to be analogathor stations. by means of symbication to broadensting stations.
- tes. The non-exclusive right to indept or arrange any part or all of any of the works for performance purposes, and to Hesisa othern to do so.

- 5. (a) The rights granted to us by sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph 4 hereof shall not include the right in perform or license the performance of more than one song or aria from a dramatic or dramatico-musical work which is an opera, operate, or musical show or more than five minutes from a dramatic or dramatico-musical work which is an opera, operate, or musical show or more than five minutes from a dramatic or dramatico-musical work which is a ballet if such performance is accompanied by the dramatic action, costumes or scenery of that dramatic
- (b) You, together with the publisher and your collaborators, if any, shall have the right jointly, by written notice to us, to exclude from the grant made by sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph 4 hereof parformances of works comprising more than thirty minutes of a dramatic or dramatico-musical work, but this right shall not apply to such performances from (i) a score originally written for and performed as part of a theatried or television film. (ii) a score originally written for and performed as part of a radio or television program, or (iii) the original cast, sound track or similar album of a dramatic or dramatico-musical work. original cost, sound track or similar album of a dramatic or dramatico-musical work.
- 6. (a) As full consideration for all rights granted to us hereunder and as security therefor, we agree to pay to you, with respect to each of the works in which we obtain and retain performing rights during the period:
- (i) For performances at a work on broadcasting stations in the United States, its territories and possessions, amounts calculated pursuant to our then ourrent standard practices upon the basis of the then current performance rates generally paid by us to our affiliated writers for similar performances of similar compositions. The number of performances for which you shall be entitled to payment shall be estimated by us in accurdance with our then current system of computing the number of such payment shall be estimated by with our then current system of computing the number of such performances.
- It is auknowledged that we license the works of our efficients for performance by non-broadcasting means, but that unless and until such time as practical methods can be devised for tabulation of and payment for such performances, payment will be based solely on broadcast performances, we shall pay you upon period we shall establish a system of separate payment for non-broadcasting performances, we shall pay you upon the basis of the then current performance rates generally poid by us to our other offiliated writers for similar performances of similar compositions.
- tii) In the case of a work composed by you with one or more collaborators, the sum payable to you locaunder shall be a pro-rate share, determined on the basis of the number of collaborators, unless you shall have transmitted to us a capy of an agreement between you and your collaborators providing for a different division
- United States, his territories and passessions, which are designated by such performing rights licensing organization outside of the as the unitor's share of foreign performance royalties carned by your works after the deduction of our decrease the distance of the deduction of our decrease the distance of the deduction of our decrease of the decrease rent handling charge applicable to our affiliated writers.
- (b) We shall have no obligation to make payment hereunder an respect to (i) any performance of a work which occurs prior to the date on which we have received from you all of the information and material with respect to such work which is referred to in paragraphs 2 and 3 hereof, or (ii) any performance of a work for which you receive payment of performance royalties from the publisher thereof. You waive the right to receive performance royalties from the publisher thereof for which you receive navent from us hereunder.
- 7. We will furnish statements to you at least twice during each year of the period showing the number of performances as computed pursuant to sub-paragraph (at (i) at paragraph 6 hereof and at least once during each year of the puriod showing the montes due pursuant to sub-paragraph (at (ii) of paragraph 6 hereof. Each statement shall be accompanied by payment to you, subject to all proper disductions for advances, if any, of the num thereby shown to be due for such performances. you receive payment from us hereunder.
- B. (a) Nothing in this agreement requires us to continue to license the works subsequent to the termination of this agreement. In the event that we continue to license any or all of the works, however, we shall reatine to make of this agreement. In the event that we continue to license any or all of the works, however, we shall reatine to make payments to you for an long as you do not make or purport to make directly or indirectly any grant of performing rights in such works to any other licensing organization. The amounts of such payments shall be calculated pursuant to our then enterent standard practices upon the basis of the then current performance rates generally paid by us to our filliated writers for similar performances of similar compositions. You agree to notify us by registered or certified mail of any grant or purported grant by you directly or indirectly of performing rights to any other performances in grights organization within ten (10) days from the making of such gront or purported grant and if you tall sent to us thereof and we make payments to you for any period after the making of any such grant or purported grant inform us thereof and we make payments to you for any period after the making of any such grant or purported grant you agree to rupay to us all amounts so paid by us promptly on denand. In addition, If we inquire of you hy registered or certified mail within thirty (30) days of the making of such inquiry and you fail to confirm to us by registered or certified mail within thirty (30) days of the making any tast inquire and you have not made any such grant or purported grant, we may from and after such date, discontinue making any many ments to you.
 - (b) Our obligation to continue payment to you after the termination of this agreement for performances nutside of the United States, its territories and possessions shall be dependent upon our receipt to the United States of payments designated by foreign performing rights organizations as the author's shade of foreign restaurance royalities earned by your works, Payment of such foreign royalities shall be subject to deduction of our then current handling charge applicable to our affiliated writers.

- (c) In the event that we have reason to believe that you will receive or are reacting payment from a performing rights licensing organization other than BMI for or based on United States performances of one or more of your works during a period when such works were licensed by us pursuant to this agreement, we shall have the right to withhold payment for such performances from you until receipt of evidence satisfactory to us of the amount so paid to you by such other organization or that you have not been so paid. In the event that you have been so paid, the monies payable by us to you for such performances during such period shall be reduced by the amount of the payment from such other organization. In the event that you do not supply such evidence within eighteen (18) months from the date of our request therefor, we shall be under no obligation to make any my the amount of the phymont from such other organization, in the event that you do not supply anch extremely within eighteen (18) months from the date of our request therefor, we shall be under no obligation to make any payment to you for performances of such works during such period.
- 9. In the event that you terminate this ogreement pursuant to sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph I hereof or 2. In the event that you terminate this agreement pursuant to sub-paragraph (a) of paragraph 1 hereof or any modification thereof at a time when, after a redicting all carnings reflected by the statements rendered to you prior to the effective date of such termination, there remains an uncarned balance of advances made to you by us, such termination shall not be effective with respect to the works then embraced by this agreement unless and until sixty (60) days after the unpaid halance of advances shall be repaid by you or until sixty (60) days after the unpaid halance of advances shall be repaid by you or until sixty (60) days after the unpaid halance of advances that ment is rendered by us at our normal accounting period showing that such uncorned balance of advances that have been followed and the recovered by us at our normal accounting period showing that such uncorned balance of advances that there is no the recovered by us at our normal accounting period showing that such uncorned balance of advances that the latest the statement.
- 10. You warrant and represent that you have the right to enter into this agreement; that you are not bound by any prior commitments which conflict with your commitments bereander; that each of the works, composed by you alone or with one or more collaborators is original; and that exercise of the rights granted by you herein will not constitute an intringement of enjoyight or violation of any other right of, or unfair compelition with, any person, firm or corporation. You agree to indemnify and hold harmless us and our licensees from and against any and all loss or damage resulting from any claim of whatever nature arising from or in connection with the exercise of any of the rights granted by you in this agreement. Upon notification to us or any of our licensees of a claim with respect to any of the works, we shall have the right to exclude such work from this agreement und/or to withhold payment of all sums which become due pursuant to this agreement or any modification thereof until such claim has been withdrawn, solded or adjudicated. fron thereof until such claim has been withdrawn, sollied or adjudicated.
- 11. (a) We shall have the right, upon written notice to you, to exclude from this agreement, at any time, any work which in our opinion (i) is similar to a previously existing composition and might composition and might intringement, at (ii) has a title or music or lyric similar to that of a previously existing composition and might lead to a chain of unfair composition, or (iii) is offensive, in had taste or against public morals, or (iv) is not proviously suitable for performance.
- (b) In the energ of works which in our opinion are based on compositions in the public domain, we shall have the right, upon written notice to you, either (i) to exclude any such work from this agreement, or (ii) to classify any such work as entitled to receive only a fraction of the full credit that would otherwise be given for professings thereof.
- (c) in the event that any work is excluded from this agreement pursuant to paragraph 10 or sub-paragraph (a) or (b) of this paragraph 11, oil rights in such work shall automatically revert to you ten (10) theys after the date of our notice to you of such exclusion. In the event that a work is classified for less than full oredit under sub-paragraph (b) (ii) at this paragraph 11, you shall have the right, by giving notice to us, within ten (10) days after the date of our letter advising you of the cradit allocated to the work to terminate our rights therein, and all rights in such work shall thereupon revert to you.
- 12. In each instance that you write, or ore employed or commissioned by a motion plature producer to write, during the post-od, all or part of the score of a motion picture intended primarily for exhibition in theaters, or by the producer of a musical show or revue for the logitimate stage to write, during the period, all or part of the smallest compositions contained therein, we agree to advise the producer of the fine that each part of the same the musical compositions contained therein, we agree to advise the producer of the fine that took part of the same is written by you may be performed as part of the exhibition of said film in theaters in the United States, the next its sufficient and possessions, without compensation to us, or to the producer of the musical above or revue, without compensation to us, in the event that we notify you that we have established a system for the salve or revue, without compensation to us. In the event that we notify you that we have established a system for the collection of royalities for performance of the scores of motion picture films in theaters in the United States, its termination of royalities for performance of the scores of motion picture films in the united States, its termination of royalities for performance of the scores of motion picture with respect to motion picture scores.
- 13. You make constitute and appoint us, or our naminee, your true and lawful alterney, irrevocably during the term beroof, in our name or that of our naminee, or in your mame, or otherwise, to do all acts, take all proceedings, execute, acknowledge and deliver my and all lastruments, papers, documents, process or ubadings that may be necessary, proper or expedient to restrain intringement of and or to enforce and protect the rights that may be necessary, proper or expedient to restrain intringement of the intringement or other violation of the granted by you harrounder, and to recover damages in respect to or for the intringement or other violation of the and rights, and in our sole judgment to jobs you and/or afters in whose names the capyrights to any of the add rights, and in our sole judgment to jobs you and/or afters in whose names the capyrights to any of the works may stund; to discontinue, compromise or refer to arbitration, any such actions or proceedings or to make works may stund; to discontinue, compromise or refer to arbitration, any such actions or proceeding commenced any other disposition of the disputes in relation to the varks, provided that any action or proceeding commenced by as pursuant to the provisions of this paragraph shall be at our sole expense and for our sole benefit.

- 14. You agree that you, your agents, employees or representatives will not, directly or indirectly, solicit or ascerpt payment from writers for composing music for tyrics or writing lyries to music or for reviewing, publishing, promoting, recording or readering other services connected with the exploitation of any composition, or pagnituse of your name or your affiliation with as in connection with any of the foregoing, in the event of a virtation of any of the provisions of this paragraph 14, we shall have the right, in our sole discretion, by giving you at least thirty 1301 days' notice by registered or certified mail, to terminate this agreement, in the event of such termination no payments shall be due to you pursuant to paragraph 0 hereof.
- 15. No manies due or to become due to you shall be assignable, whether by way of assignment sale or power granted to an attorney-in-fact, without our prior written consent. If any assignment of such monies is made by you without such prior written consent, no rights of any kind against us will be acquired by the assigner, purchaser or attorney-in-fact.
- 16. In the event that during the period (a) mail addressed to you at the last address furnished by you pursuant to paragraph 19 hereof shall be returned by the post officer or (b) montes shall not have been curred by you pursuant to paragraph 6 hereof for a period of two consenutive years or more: at (c) you shall die, BMI shall have the right to terminate this agreement on at least skirty (30) days' notice by registered or certified mail shall have the right to terminate this agreement on at least skirty (30) days' notice by registered or certified mail addressed to the last address furnished by you pursuant to paragraph 19 heroof and, in the case of your death, to the representative of your astate, if known to BMI. In the event of such termination no paymer's shall be due you pursuant to paragraph 8 hereof.
- 17. You asknowledge that the rights obtained by you pursuant to this agreement constitute rights to payment of money and that during the period we shall hold absolute title to the performing rights granted to us bereinder. In the event that during the period you shall file a perition in bankruptey, such a petition shall be filed against you, you shall make an assignment for the benefit of graditors, you shall consent to the appointment of a receiver or trustee for all or part of your property, or you shall institute or shall have instituted against you may other insolvency proceeding under the United States bankruptey laws or any other applicable law, we shall remin title to the performing rights in all works for which charance sheets shall have theretofore been submitted to us and shall subrogate your trustee in bankruptey or receiver and any subsequent purchasers from them to your right to payment of money for said works in accordance with the terms and conditions of this agreement.
- 1B. All disputes of any kind, nature or description whatsoner arising in connection with the terms and conditions of this agreement, or arising and of the performance thereof, or based upon an alleged breach thereof, shall be submitted to arbitration in the City. County and State of New York under the then provabling rules of the American Arbitration Association by an arbitrator or arbitrator, to be solected as follows: Each of as shall by written notice to the other have the right to appoint one arbitrator, provided, however, that if within tan (10) by written notice to the other have the right to appoint one arbitrator, provided, however, that if within tan (11) they written notice appoint another arbitrator the first arbitrator appointed shall be the sole arbitrator. If two arbitrators are so appointed, they shall thereupon appoint the third arbitrator, provided that if ten (10) days shall chapse after the appointment of the second arbitrator and the said two arbitrators are mable to agree upon the appointment of the third arbitrator then either of us may, in writing, request the American Arbitration Association to appoint the third arbitrator. The award made in the arbitration shall be harding and conclusive on us and judgment may be, but need not be, entered thereon in any court having jurisdiction. Such award shall include the lixing of the cost of urbitration, which shall be horse by the ausuccessful party.
- 19. You agree to notify our Department of Performing Rights Administration promptly in writing of any change in your address. Any notice sent to you pursuant to the terms of this agreement shall be valid if addressed to you at the last address so braished by you.
- 20. This agreement council be changed orally and shall be governed and construed pursuant to the laws of the State of New York.

It is agreed that any part of the advances bereatofore made to you pursuant to the Superseiled Agreement which shall not have been recouped by performances of your works up to the effective date of this agreement shall be deemed to be an advance against all monies which may become payable to you pursuant to this agreement and any extensions, remewals or multifications thereof, or substitutions therefor.

Very truly yours.

BROADCAST MUSIC. INC.

The Landord Law.

Sylvester stewart

TETER AND SCREED

1/51 8115

between STONE FIRE PRODUCTIONS, LTD., a New York corporation having its principal place of business at c/o Elliott H. Pollack, 115:

Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10019 (hereinafter referred to as the "Company") and SYLVESTER STEWART p/k/a Siy Stone, Tesiding at (Graph Inc.) (hereinafter referred to as the "Employee").

WHEREAS, the Employee is desirous to be employed exclusively by the Company, and

WHEREAS, the Company wishes to employ exclusively the unique talents of the Employee, and

whereas, the parties desire to set forth their understanding as to the terms of the Employee's exclusive employment by the Company in writing,

NOW, THEREFORE, the Company and the Employee agree as follows:

Employee as an artist in the music industry and all related entertainment fields, including but not limited to musician, composer, arranger, publisher and performing artist and such new and different areas within which the Employee's artistic talents can be developed and exploited, for a period of five (5) years or for the

duration of a recording contract with a third party for the Employee's services, indorsed by him, whichever is longer. Further, in the event that this paragraph is found to be unenforceable by force of law or statute then the term herein shall be the maximum time allowed minus one day.

- (b) Employee shall have the option to terminate this agreement at the end of each year of the five (5) year period of this agreement upon the following conditions:
 - The company fails to pay the Employee gross compensation pursuant to this agreement, as follows:

First Year - \$75,000.00 Second Year - \$100,000.00 Third Year - \$150,000.00

Fourth Year - \$250,000.00

II. Employee shall notify Company of his election, of his option to terminate, by written notice mailed to the Company no later than forty-five (45) days prior to the end of each contractural year.

Page 3

The company agrees that it shall upon execution of this agreement do the following:

100

- Pay to the Employee Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00).
 Dollars within ten (10) days of execution this agreement.
- II. To take all steps necessary to complete

 Employee's dental work currently in proggress
 including payment of the bill incurred.
- III. To provide the Employee with the proper and necessary wearing apparel for the purpose of introducing the Employee to record companies in furtherance of the Company's attempts to obtain a recording contract for the Employee's services.
- 3. The Company shall pay to the Employee as compensation for his exclusive services fifty (50%) percent of the Companies net profits. Net profits shall be defined as profits after the deduction of all reasonable and necessary expenses of the Company including a fee of ten (10%) percent for administrating the affairs of it's artists and excluding all amounts paid pursuant to this

agreement. The Employee's portion of the anticipated met profits shall be made available to the Employee within ten (10) days of receipt of gross income. Anticipated net profit shall be defined as profit after the withholding of the ten (10%) percent administration fee referred to above and all other reasonably anticipated and necessary expenses, including but not limited to session fees, equipment costs or fees, salaries of other employees, office expenses etc.

- 4. The net profits of the company shall be calculated semi-annually on the 15th day of August and 15th day of February of each year this agreement shall be in full force and effect and the compensation to the employee, as provided for in paragraph 2 above, shall be payable to the employee within thirty (30) days from the dates of calculation as provided herein.
- 5. Company agrees to maintain accurate books and records of all transactions, which books and records may be inspected by a certified public accountant designated by the Employee, or by the employee himself, at Employee's expense, upon reasonable notice to the Company, at the Company's office in New York City and during regular business hours.

- monies to the Employee for living expenses. The Company will use it's best efforts to satisfy those needs, however, such advances shall be within the sole discretion of the Company. These advances shall be fully recouped by the company against the Employee's compensation as provided for herein as well as from compensation to Employee from agreements between him and any of Company's affiliates or subsidiaries. The Employee acknowledges that the Company has already advanced him money for the above purpose in the amount of \$4700.00 (see Exhibit A attached hereto).
- 7. (a) The Employee agrees at all times to devote himself to his career and employment and to do all things necessary and desirable to promote his career and services and earnings therefrom. This shall include but not be limited to recording sessions, live performances, rehearsals, photographic sessions, video sessions and other promotion activities as the Company may direct. The Employee acknowledges that the Company shall attempt to develop and exploit his skills and talents in the entertainment, music, recording, motion picture, television, radio, literary, theatrical, advertising and amusement fields and all similar fields whether now known or hereafter devised.

- artist of uniques skills, talent and experience in the entertainment field, and, as such, the Company desires to give the Employee creative control over all projects with which the Employee is involved. This control shall include, but not be limited to, selection of the individuals participating in the activities outlined in paragraph 6. (a) above, repertoire and all other creative aspects applicable to a particular project. Additionally, the company agrees to consult and seek the approval of the Employee with regard to all engagements referred to in paragraph 6. (a) above.
- 8. The Company undertakes to use it's best efforts to exploit and develop the Employee's skill and talent as a musician, composer, arranger, publisher, actor, writer and performing artist in the entertainment, music, recording, motion picture, television, radio, literary, theatrical, advertising and amusement fields and all similar fields whether now known or hereafter devised. The Company shall provide the Employee's services to other companies and to manage and supervise such services so as to assure the proper use and continued demand for the Employee's services.

- 9. The Employee acknowledges that the Company shall have the exclusive right to exploit Employee's personality in all media, and in connection therewith to approve and permit, for the purpose of trade, advertising, merchandising and publicity, the use, licensing, dissemination, reproduction or publication of Employee's name, photographic likeness and image, voice, artistic and musical materials.
- 10. (a) The Employee hereby acknowledges that this agreement is for the Employee's exclusive services and that he will provide said services soley and exclusively for the Company. The Employee shall not render similar services or perform said services on his own behalf or on behalf of third parties and Employee will not negotiate, accept or execute any agreement, understanding or undertaking concerning his services or career without the Company's express prior written consent. Similarly, Employee agrees to refer to the Company all verbal or written leads, communications or requests for Employee's appearances and services.
 - (b) In light of the Employee's unique skill and experience, the Company agrees that it will not unreasonably withhold it's approval of any engagement, appearance or opportunity made available to the Employee which the Employee desires to pursue within the terms of paragraph 9. (a) above.

- a producer of a recording other than a recording pursuant to a recording contract for the Employee's services as a principal artist then the terms of such employment shall be in accord with this agreement except that the fee for said services shall be divided seventy-five (75%) percent to the Employee and twenty-five (25%) percent to the Company.
- 11. The Employee hereby acknowledges and understands that the Company has financed and undertaken great expense to induce the Employee's entering into this agreement.
- 12. Simultaneous with the execution of this agreement the Employee has executed an assignment of claims, a copy which attached hereto as Exhibit B, and said assignment its terms and conditions are hereby specifically incorporated into this agreement.
- 13. (a) The Employee hereby irrevocably appoints the Company, by Steve Topley and/or Jerry Goldstein, for the term of this agreement and any extensions hereof as the Employee's true and lawful attorney-in-fact to sign, make execute and deliver any and all contracts in the Employee's name; to make, execute, endorse, accept, collect and deliver any and all bills of exchange, checks and notice as the Employee's said attorney; to

demand, sue for, collect, recover, and receive all goods, claims, money interest or other items that may be due to EMPLOYEE or belong to EMPLOYEE; and to make, execute and deliver receipts, releases or other discharges therefor under sale or otherwise and to defend, settle, adjust, compound, submit to arbitration and compromise, all actions, suits, accounts reckonings, claims and demands whatsoever that are or shall be pending in such manner and in all respects as the Company in it's sole discretion shall deem advisable; and without in any way limiting the foregoing, generally to do, execute and perform any other act, deed or thing whatsoever that reasonably ought to be done, executed and performed of any and every nature and kind as fully and effectively as the Employee could do if personally present; and the Employee hereby ratifies and affirms all acts performed by the Company by virtue of this power of attorney.

(b) The Employee expressly agrees that he will not on the Employee's own behalf assert any of the powers herein granted to the Company by the foregoing power of attorney without the express, prior, written consent of the Company and that all sums and consideration paid to the Employee by reason of the Employee's artistic endeavors shall be paid to the Company on

his behalf. However, the Company agrees that it will not unreasonably withhold the use of it's power as to matters herein described which the Employee wishs the Company to pursue.

- (c) It is expressly understood that the foregoing power of attorney is limited to matters reasonably related to the Employee's career as musician, composer, arranger, publisher and performing artist and such new and different areas within which the Employee's artistic talents can be developed and exploited.
- 14. The parties agree that this agreement does not create a partnership between the parties, nor does it create an equity interest of any kind in the Company in favor of the Employee. The Employee merely has a participation interest in the net profits of the company.
- 15. The name of the company shall be changed to EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS, LTD. If the name EVEN ST. PRODUCTIONS is unavailable or unacceptable by the Secretary of State of the State of New York, the the Company name shall be changed to another name mutually acceptable to the parties herein.
- 16. The rights, benefits and obligations of the Employee are not assignable.

- 17. The Employee warrants that there are no agreements and that he is under no disability, restriction or prohibition with respect to the Employee's right to execute this agreement and perform it's term and conditions. The Employee warrants and represents that no act or omission, prior to or subsequent to the execution of this agreement, will violate any right or interest of any person or firm or will subject the Company to any liability, or claim of liability to any person. The Employee agrees to indemnify the Company and to hold the Company harmless against any damages, cost, expenses, fee (including attorney's fees) incurred by the Company in any claim, suit or proceeding instituted by or against the Company in which any assertion is made which is inconsistant with any warranty, representation or covenant of the Employee or as a result of the Employee's breach or other failure to perform the terms of this agreement.
 - 18. The Employee clearly understands that the Company is not an employment agency or employment agent or theatrical agent or licensed booking agent and that the Company, other than this agreement, has not offered or attempted or promised to obtain employment or engagements for me, that the Company is not obligated or expected to do so.

- 19. It is agreed that as a condition precedent to any assertion by the Employee that the Company is in default in performing any obligations contained herein, the Employee must advise the Company in writing of the specific facts upon which it is claimed that the Company is in default and of the specific obligation which it is claimed has been breached, and the Company shall be allowed a period of thirty (30) days after receipt of such written notice, within which to care such default.
- 20. The Employee affirmitively acknowledges that he has been given the opportunity and encouraged to consult an attorney before the execution of this agreement.
- 21. There shall be no change, amendment, or modification of this agreement unless it is reduced to writing and signed by all parties hereto. No waiver of any breach of this agreement shall be construed as a continuing waiver or consent to any subsequent breach hereof.
- 22. The Employee acknowledges and agrees that the Company's right to employ the services, skills and talent of the employee are soley and exclusively the Company's and the Employee's obligation to render such services, skills and talent soley and exclusively for the Company are unique, irreplaceable and extraordinary rights and obligations and that any breach or threatened breach by the Employee thereof shall be material and shall cause the Company

immediately unavoidable and irreperable harm and damage which cannot be adequately compensated for by money judgment. Accordingly, the Employee agrees that in addition to all other forms of relief and all other remedies which may be available to the Company in the event of any such breach or threatened breach by the Employee, the Company shall be entitled to seek and obtain injunctive relief against the Employee and the Employee agrees that in seeking such injunctive relief, the Company shall not be obligated to secure any bond or other security in connection with the Company's application for such relief.

- 23. The parties herein warrant that all the terms and provisions of this agreement are provident and reasonable when made.
- 24. This agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York governing contracts wholly executed and performed therein and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties' respective heirs, executors, administrators and successors. Further, the parties agree exclusive jursidiction shall lie with the Courts of the State of New York.

- 25. This agreement shall apply to those forms of entertainment as presently known and may be known in the future.
- 26. In the event any provision hereof shall be for any reason illegal or unenforceable, the same shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining provisions hereof.

IN WITHESS WHEREOF, parties have caused this agreement to be executed as of the date first indicated above.

SYLVESPER STEWART b/k/a Sly Stone

STONE FIRE PRODUCTIONS LTD.

STEATEN TOPLEY

I, Sylvester Stewart p/k/a Sly Stone, hereby acknowledge that the following monies have been advanced to me by JERRY GOLDSTEIN MISIC, INC. I affirm that these funds have been advanced to me in anticipation of my entering into an exclusive services agreement with a music production company in which Jerry Goldstein, JERRY GOLDSTEIN MUSIC, INC., or another entity designated by Jerry Goldstein shall be a principle thereof. These advances will be recouped by said production company against compensation due me under the exclusive services agreement referred to above.

Date	Amount	SALIL
Dec. 11, 1988	\$200.00	All And All Comments
Dec. 16; 1988	\$300:00	Harry Market
Dec. 20, 1988	\$700.00	Steffing from
Dec. 22, 1988	\$200.00	- Sty After I
Dec. 23, 1988	\$500.00	Harff Hund
Dec. 28, 1988	\$500.00	Deflagat The East
Dec. 30, 1988	\$200.00	The staff and
Jan. 2, 1989	\$100.00	Jely 13 3 Dans
Jul. 5, 1919.	\$60.00	THE PARTY OF THE P
Test. 8, 1989	\$160.00	344
Jus. 10, 1959	\$170.00	
In. H, 1519	DICC 62	That Wall
- 15, 15, 152°1	. Switt	The state of the s
· Jan. 18, 1939.	45C.CC	May the Miller of the
. Fan. 21 1919	1300.00	
· 560.15 1955	\$ 700.00	
Jes. 28, 1989	\$ 362.00	
. Eth. 1, 1989	1400.00	The state of the s
· Eb. 4,1989	\$30.00	Con All I
V. Feb. 7, 1989	\$ 400.00	Sign of the second

I, Sylvester Stewart p/k/a Sly Store, hereby acknowledge that the following monies have been advanced to me by JERRY GOIDSTEIN MUSIC, INC. I affirm that these funds have been advanced to me in anticipation of my entering into an exclusive services agreement with a music production company in which Jerry Goldstein, JERRY COLDSTEIN MUSIC, INC., or another entity designated by Jerry Goldstein shall be a principle thereof. These advances will be recouped by said production company against compensation due me under the exclusive services agreement referred to above.

Date	Amount	- 11/1/1/t
. 113. S, 19.9	300.00	01/4.01
· 4-6. 9, 1939	47.60	THOU AN
. Est. 10, 1989	260.00	ASOT SE
· 525. 14, 1989	400.00	The Notes
. Feb. 14 1789	300.00	That a
Fib. 21, 1959	410.00	The state of the s
Feb23, 1989	200.00	- Joyn ex- f
Eeb. 24, 1989	20000	_5/
Feb. 24, 1959	200.00	5/
Es 27, 1189	200.00	
payable and with a life and another the same of the sa		
* (
*		
-	have the same of the same of	
No. of the last section of	Service and grand standards	
-	Security Substitution of the Control	
-		

ASSIGNMENT

For One (\$1.00) Dollar, and other good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged SYLVESTER STEWART p/k/a Sly Stone ("Assignor") hereby assigns, transfers, sets over and conveys to STONE FIRE PRODUCTIONS LTD. ("Assignee"), all rights, title and interest in and to any and all claims, rights, causes of action and benefits now known or unknown resulting from the prior exploitation of the Assignor's skills talents and services, in the entertainment industry including but not limited to, as a musician, composer, arranger, publisher, recording artist, actor, writer and performing artist. Said assignment shall include but not be limited to the following:

- 1. Any royalty or other income now due past due or to become due from CBS RECORDS.
- Any royalty or other income now due, past due, or to become due from WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC. including but not limited to publishing royalties and income, and writer's royalties and income.
- 3. Any royalty or other income now due, past due, or to become due from BMI, INC. including but not limited to publisher's performance royalties or income, and writer's performance royalties and income.

The within named assignment, transfer and conveyance includes without limitation any and all rights that Assignor now has or to which Assignor may become entitled under existing or subsequently enacted federal, state or foreign laws. The within grant further includes all proceeds from the foregoing accrued and unpaid and hereafter accruing and all such claims, rights, causes of action benefits arising therefrom without limitation with full right to

maintain any actions thereon, and to settle, compromise, or reassign such claims, and to get a release in Assignor's name in full discharge of the liability thereunder.

Date: 3/27/89

SYLVESTER STEWART p/k/a Sly Stone

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF

, Now Trum

COUNTY OF

3 Midelloog

On February 27, 1989, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the State of $\mbox{\sc Mullipse}$, personally appeared SYLVESTER STEWART p/k/a Sly Stone, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he has executed the same.

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Notary Public

JUSTIN J. FOOTERMAN NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY My Commission Expires Mar. 11, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Exhibit A to Registrant's Motion to Suspend Proceedings is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the attorney for Petitioner, Rod Rummelsburg, Esq., Allan Law Group, P.C., 22917 Pacific Coast Highway, #350, Malibu, CA 90265, this 14th day of June, 2010.

Robert A. Becker